INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1155	2672		Affidavit of YANO, Masao		23271
1154	2673		Affidavit of MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu		23212
1149	2674		Affidavit of FUTAMI, Akishuro		23287
1145	2675		Affidavit of TANABE, Moritake (except 3rd paragaph)		23291
1323	2676		Affidavit of TANAKA, Shinichi		23303

Thursday, 29 May 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now, in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

YUKIO KASAHARA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CHOSS-EXALINATION

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, didn't you plan in your document that in case your first proposal to be ready for the war against the USSR at any moment wouldn't be accepted that a second proposal should be accepted?

A That was my idea while I was in Moscow.

Q Didn't your second proposal provide for the program of the armament of the army to be completed in ten years, that is by 1941, and thus the army should apparently be prepared for a war against the USSR on the basis of the strategy of annihilation of the Soviet Union?

A No, that is no so.

COLONEL IVANOV: May the witness be shown exhibit 2671.

Q I shall read to you an excerpt from paragraph 5

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

23

Yelden & Spratt

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now, in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

YUKIO KASAHARA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXALINATION

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, didn't you plan in your document that in case your first proposal to be ready for the war against the USSR at any moment wouldn't be accepted that a second proposal should be accepted?

A That was my idea while I was in Moscow.

Q Didn't your second proposal provide for the program of the armament of the army to be completed in ten years, that is by 1941, and thus the army should apparently be prepared for a war against the USSR on the basis of the strategy of annihilation of the Soviet Union?

A No, that is no so.

COLONEL IVANOV: May the witness be shown exhibit 2671.

Q I shall read to you an excerpt from paragraph 5

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the second part of the document. I quote, page 4 of the English text, paragraph 4. Follow my reading.

"The objects of this consolidation are twofold:

"1. Consolidation so that whenever war strikes, everything is ready.

"2. Consolidation so that even if there are a few difficulties to begin with, everything is perfect after ten years.

"It is a very important problem which principle we depend on. If the authorities decide on a policy of beginning the war against Russia as soon as possible according to the first-mentioned principle we, of course, will depend on the former. In the event, however, of our being unable to conjecture the time of the war, it would be better to choose rather the latter. This is why Russia will not be able to carry out military operations on a large scale against foreign countries according to the first-mentioned principle, even if the war with Russia breaks out now or the near future. It is of course necessary to undertake at once everything that will involve expenditure, such as holding manoeuvers, urgent and indispensible items such as the training of troops."

Such proposals were made by you to the

General Staff, weren't they?

A Yes, I did propose so, but as I said just a moment ago I did not propose that an offensive wer be conducted against the Soviet Union ten years hence, as the prosecutor has said. On the contrary, I said that unless war was waged immediately --

THE MONITOR: At that time I said that it would be more favorable to us if we opened a war immediately. However, if we waited the Soviet strength would increase to phenomenal size and that they would, the Soviet Union would start the aggression from their side. Therefore, the second proposal had as its purport to prepare defenses against an aggression by the Soviet side.

Q Mr. Witness, your document speaks for itself. I don't want to change anything in your document, and will you answer my questions exactly.

Mr. Witness, will you tell us, after you submitted your document in which the purposes and strategic principles of a war against the USSR were dealt with, weren't you transferred for further service to the Japanese General Staff as the head of the Russian Section of the Second Division?

A Yes, I was transferred and became the head of the Russian Branch.

1 2

Q It was at the beginning of 1932, wasn't it?

A I was transferred to my new duties in April of 1932.

Q Tell us, Mr. Witness, did not the Japanese General Staff in 1932 take a decision to complete preparations for a way against the USSR by the beginning of 1934?

A The General Staff did not make such a decision -- does not make such a decision.

Q I shall read to you an excerpt from our message which you, being head of the Russian Section of the Second Division of the General Staff, handed to KAWABE, Military Attache in Moscow in summer of 1932. I quote exhibit 702, page 1, paragraph 1:

"It was decided that the (army and navy's) preparations for waging war against Russia should be completed before the middle of 1934. However, it does not mean that hostilities will be opened immediately after the preparations have been completed. In order to consolidate Manchuria, the war against Russia is necessary for Japan."

Did you tell it?

A At that time I informed Lieutenant Colonel

KANDA something similar to what was just read, but
not exactly the same. As I bave stated in my affidavit,

there was an agreement among the section chiefs and the branch chiefs that preparations would be made for a war by 1934, but this did not mean that these plans had as its object a war of aggression against the Soviet Union. Moreover, this agreement reached among the section chiefs and branch chiefs for the completion of military preparations was later, when viewed concretely — the conclusion was reached that it was impossible to put such plans into effect, and these plans were therefore abandoned.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I refer the Members of the Tribunal to exhibt 702 in order to test the credibility of the witness.

Q Mr. Witness, do you in your affidavit instead of 1932 give wrong date on which allegedly the decision on the completion of preparations for a war against the USSR was taken by General Staff of Japan in 1933?

A That is a mistake on my part. I wrote it wrong.

Q Mr. Witness, will you tell me were there in the General Staff such rules that the heads of divisions could undertake, independently of the Chief of the General Staff, to settle the questions connected with the dates of war against other states?

there was an agreement among the section chiefs and the branch chiefs that preparations would be made for a war by 1934, but this did not mean that these plans had as its object a war of aggression against the Soviet Union. Moreover, this agreement reached among the section chiefs and branch chiefs for the completion of military preparations was later, when viewed concretely -- the conclusion was reached that it was impossible to put such plans into effect, and these plans were therefore abandoned.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I refer the Members of the Tribunal to exhibt 702 in order to test the credibility of the witness.

Q Mr. Witness, do you in your affidavit instead of 1932 give wrong date on which allegedly the decision on the completion of preparations for a war against the USSR was taken by General Staff of Japan in 1933?

A That is a mistake on my part. I wrote it wrong.

Q Mr. Witness, will you tell me were there in the General Staff such rules that the heads of divisions could undertake, independently of the Chief of the General Staff, to settle the questions connected with the dates of war against other states?

25

1	A No, they could not make such a decision,
2	and therefore no such decisions were made.
3	the design it was said that
4	it was decided to complete preparations for a war
5	against the USSR. The word "decide" was mentioned
6	against the USSR. The word decision was taken,
	in the document. Therefore, such decision was taken,
7	was it not?
8	A Where did I write that a decision would be
9	made to carry out a war?
10	THE MONITOR: Where did I write that
11	decision was made, where in my document?
12	Q Such decisions are rarely given in a written
13	form. You spoke about this decision orally to
14	Lieutenant Colonel KANDA, Military Attache in Moscow,
16	didn't you?
17	A Verbal messages to that effect I did
18	give such a verbal message to Lieutenant Colonel
19	KANDA, but the facts were different. I can say
20	definitely this was so because I know that the
21	facts differ.
22	THE MONITOR: Slight correction: I did
23	give verbal message to Lieutenant Colonel KANDA.
24	However, the contents of that message are not as
	· ·

you, Mr. Prosecutor, has stated. The fact belies

this, because I know the facts. I know that that

was not the message.

A (Continuing) I believe that a verbal agreement of a nature had been reached. I believe I said that a verbal agreement was reached.

test the credibility of your testimony now; that is the document itself. May the witness be shown exhibit 702, Japanese photo copy of the original text.

Q Mr. Witness, you have photo copy of exhibit 702. This is a record of a message given by Lieutenant Colonel KANDA, Military Attache in Moscow, in your name. I shall read now the text of the document. You follow my reading, whether I read correctly the contents of the document. You there said. I quote:

"It was decided that the (army and navy's)
preparations for waging war against Russia should
be completed before the middle of 1934. However,
it does not mean that hostilities will be opened
immediately after the preparations have been
completed. In order to consolidate Manchuria, the
war against Russia is necessary for Japan."

That was written in 1932; that is, fifteen years ago. Didn't this document refresh your memory,

7 8

Mr. Witness? Your ressage contained these words, the message which you gave to Lieutenant Colonel KANDA? Was it not so?

I do not recall what I had said fifteen years ago. However, when this exhibit was shown to me before I recalled that something similar in nature, and therefore I recalled in part what had occurred at that time. Therefore, I do not remember word for word what I had said at that time, but I do know that the facts differ.

THE MONITOR: I do know that the facts differ from the transmitted message. Therefore, 11 I know that the message could not have been as you say because the facts at the time differ from it.

15

13

14

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

olf & Kapleau

tive agreement, among the section chiefs had been misunderstood and misinterpreted as being a decision -- Mr. Witness, in documents exhibits 696, 702, and 2671 you, in 1931-32, frankly wrote about favorable conditions for a war -- about aggresive purposes of a war against the U.S.S.R, and in your affidavit you write that allegedly the General Staff of Japan at that time was afraid of the Soviet armed intervention in the Manchurian question. Fow can we reconcile this contradiction between these documents written at the time and your impressions of the events?

A Then I wrote that the time was opportune for a war against Russia, it was in 1935, when I was in Russia. But upon my return to Japan and viewing the internal situation in Japan and the fact that the status of armaments in Japan was far less than I had expected, I realized that what I had wrote at that time while I was in Russia was a mistake.

O Was it not from Japan that in July, 1932, you sent your message about the decision taken to complete the preparations for a war against the

THE MONITOR: 1935 is corrected to 1931.

U.S.S.R. by the beginning of 1934 -- wasn't it from Tokyo? You again contradict the facts.

A There is no inconsistency in what I have said.

a message something like the message you read but not the message you quoted. I said that wasn't the message I sent. Therefore, there is no inconsistency at all in my testimony. Furthermore, the war against the Soviet Union which is written down here does not mean that it was an aggressive war, an offensive war against the Soviet Union -- not a war initiated by the Japanese side. War preparations means preparations for a war which might occur at any time. It does not mean preparations for an offensive war.

Q If by the defensive war against the Soviet Union, of which you speak now, you mean the objectives set forth in your document, that is, to make the Soviet territory at least as far as Lake Bakalie part of Imperial territory, then we really can understand your answers.

Mr. Witness, now I shall pass on to one more theme of your report, exhibit 2671.

Mr. Witness, didn't you propose to use

3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

more extensive subversive activities against the U.S.S.R. for the purpose of dealing the U.S.S.R. a crushing blow?

A Yes, I did propose so. Before that I would like to add to my reply to the previous question.

Previous to that the prosecutor mentioned that the occupation of Lake Bakalie would be an aggressive war -- of Trans-Bakalie would be an act of aggression; but as I said before, when I returned to Japan, in 1931, the General Staff did not accept my plans. In fact, they ridiculed the plans and they were not adopted. Again, the occupation of the Trans-Bakalie provinces means that this would be dine after a war had broken out. It does not mean an act of aggression or an aggressive war. Furthermore, when I advocated the occupation of the Trans-Bakalie provinces I had in mind that in the event of a long, protracted war these provinces should be regarded as a part of Japanese territory, for the purpose of gaining self-sufficiency to carry out such / protracted war.

Q Mr. Witness, will you answer my questions, briefly?

Didn't you also propose to concentrate

3

4

5

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

the activities of the Japanese Intelligence Service on the carrying on of subversive activities against the U.S.S.R. chiefly?

A No, I did not make such a proposal. "hat I did say was that subversive actions must be taken, but not to make this the main duty of the Intelligence agencies of the army.

I shall read the last excerpt from the document. I will quote paragraph 3 of the second section of the document. That is page 3 of the English text.

"As stated above, if the circumstances make it difficult to extinguish the enemy altogether in the Far Fast, it is an especially important and essential requisite in the war against Russia to draw the countries adjacent to the Soviet Union into the war against Russia by strategical propaganda, and by utilizing anti-Soviet elements both inside and outside the Soviet, such as "hite Russians' organizations and races of different kinds to bring about her internal collapse. "We have shown above that conditions in Soviet Russia would have a beneficial influence in the execution of this strategical propaganda. Therefore believe it will prove very valuable to consolidate our connections with

the countries adjacent to Soviet Fussia, diplomatically, militarily, and in every way possible, as well as to maintain our peace-time connection; with 3 anti-Soviet elements. "Accordingly, our counter-intelligence corps should not be located solely for the purpose of collecting intelligence about the Soviet; its location should be decided upon with equal atten-8 tion to the strategic viewpoint." 9 10 Did you write about it? 11 A Ves, I did 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

20

19

22

21

23 24

Q Mr. Witness, didn't HIROTA, the then
Japanese Ambassador to Moscow share your views
on the attitude of Japan towards the USSR?

Correction: The accused HIROTA.

A I do not believe that his attitude was the same as mine. Ambassador HIROTA did not advocate a war. He stated that at the time there need be no fear in case a war did -- should break out, and that various problems such as the problem of fisheries between the two countries, negotiations be undertaken for their settlement.

THE MONITOR: HIROTA did not advocate war or favor war. What he said to me and he frequently told me was that since Russia was not to be feared at that time this was an opportune moment to settle all pending problems between the two countries such as fishing problems and that this can be settled by positive action from the Japanese side because of the absence of fear.

Q Did not HIROTA consider the seizure of the soviet Far East and Siberia rather than defense against communism to be the main task of war with the USSR?

A He may have said that to Major General HARADA, but these were not Ambassador HIROTA's

2

3

9

10

23

24

formal ideas. As I said before, I feel that he had some other motive in mind in saying such a thing to Major General HARADA.

Q You arrived at this conclusion now after

Q You arrived at this conclusion now after 16 years, after 16 years have passed since the events. Now, let us see the document. I will remind you the Lessage was sent by HIROTA and recorded by you personally. That is exhibit 693.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: I didn't mean to interrupt before the question was finished. The last three questions, I submit, are wholly without the scope of the testimony in chief and I therefore object to any further questioning on this line.

COLONEL IVANOV: The witness in his affidavit took the liberty to state -- to deny the existence of the plans of aggressive war against the User, and that the government officials of Japan had no aggressive purpose in this war. I submit, that the Honorable Tribunal should fully estimate the credibility of his testimony. For this purpose precisely, I am going to read the following quotation.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: The witness -- I, of course, didn't hear part of the statement -- but I heard

the statement made that the witness took the liberty of testifying to the intentions of Japanese officials. That he did not do. The witness testified to some 4 matters concerning the policy of the Japanese Government, but he is now being asked about the 6 opinions of individuals.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose HIROTA, the accused HIROTA, was a member of the Japanese Government at that time.

COLONEL IVANOV: That is quite correct, your Honor. He was first Foreign Minister and later Prime Minister of Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: But you are going to put to him something that the witness said to HIROTA, I understand. What was HIROTA then? Was he just a private citizen?

COLONEL IVANOV: HIROTA was then Ambassador to Moscow from Imperial Japan. At the sametime the witness KASAHARA was a military attache at the Japanese Embassy in Moscow in the UtSR.

MR. BLAKENEY: The statement had already been made that HIROTA was at that time Ambassador, and, therefore, patently he was not a member of the Government.

THE PRESIDENT: He would not be responsible

10 11

7

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

for the policy of the Government. I do not know that the witness set out to testify what was the attitude of such people as Ambassadors towards this question, but he did purport to state the attitude of the Government and that may, of course, be manifested in the Ambassador's statement.

I think the question is on the borderline and it should be allowed. The objection is overruled. BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q I quote exhibit 693. That is a message by HIROTA, recorded by KASAHARA personally.

"Desire the following matters be especially transmitted to the Chief of General Staff.

"Aside from whether Japan will wage a war against soviet Russia, it is necessary for Japan to have strong policies against Soviet Russia being ready for war at any time. Its principal purpose is not defense against Communism but rather the conquest of Eastern Fiberia."

Did you record these words, Mr. Witness?
Yes.

Q Do I understand you and HIROTA correctly,
Mr. Witness, if I state that the northern problems -that the Far Eastern problems, as you call them,
and the northern problems as they are called by

others, meant Soviet territory of the Far East which it was Japan's plan to seize; that is, the Soviet Far East and Siberia?

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: I couldn't hear the English translation and I ask that it be repeated.

THE PRESIDENT: Will the court reporter repeat that.

(Whereupon, the last question

was read b. the official court reporter.)

THE FOVIET INTERPRETER: Addition: "and
Zabaikalie.

A The settlement of Far Eastern problems did not mean the occupation of soviet territory in the Far East. It means that the various clashes, problems, existing between the two countries in the Far East -- arising between the two countries in the Far East should be settled.

M 0 r & B e 1 Z e

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You again contradict yourself by your own testimony and by statements made by Mr. HIROTA. Correction: you again contradict -- make contradictions between your statements and the statements made by Mr. HIROTA which were recorded in the documents 16 years ago. I pass on to the next question. THE PRESIDENT: Colonel, do not address him

that way. Just put questions to him.

COLONEL IVANOV: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: It is for us to decide whether he contradicts himself.

COLONEL IVANOV: May the witness be shown exhibit No. 779?

THE PRESIDENT: Before he looks at that I have a question to put on behalf of the members of the Tribunal

Witness, you say that the army staffs had nothing to do with policy. You also say that your report, that is exhibit No. 2671 which deals with policy was sent by you to the army General Staff. How do you explain the apparent contradiction?

THE WITNESS: I do not say that the General Staff had no connection "hatsoever with the national policy. What I meant was that they do not have the power nor the duty nor the authority to decide on national

23 24

policy. National policy is decided by the participa-1 tion of government as well as by participation of the army, for instance, General Staff and War Ministry. 3 Now any national policy that has to do with defense, 4 the forming of such with the national defense, the 5 forming of such policy, of course, is participated in 6 by the General Staff. 7 8 THE PRESILENT: I refer to the record page 9 23,197. 10 The witness was about to say something. Let 11 him continue. 12 THE WITNESS: I made a report of my opinions 13 as an attache attached to the embassy. I thought that 14 was within my function because these had to do with 15 the problem of national defense and it is permissible 16 for anyone to make recommendation by or submit opinions 17 regarding the national policy. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Colonel. 19 BY COLONEL IVANOV: (Continued) 20 I asked that the witness be shown exhibit 779. 21 Was the exhibit handed to you, witness? 22 (Whereupon, a document was handed 23

Q (Continuing) I will read to you, Mr. Witness,

excerpt from exhibit 779, that is, the resolution

to the witness.)

24

adopted by the Imperial conference on July 2, 1941. Follow my reading. I quote page 2 of the English translation, paragraph 1. (Reading:)

"Though the spirit of the tripartite axis will form the keynote of our attitude toward the German-Soviet "ar, we shall not intervene for a while, but take voluntary measures by secretly preparing arms against the Soviet Union. Meanwhile, diplomatic negotiations will be continued with detailed precautions; and should the conditions of the German-Soviet war progress favourable to Japan we shall execute arms to solve the northern problems, thereby securing stability in the Northern regions."

Tell us, Nr. Witness, did not the contents of the resolution adopted at the Imperial conference ustermine the main points of Japan's attitude towards the Soviet Union in connection with the outbreak of the Soviet-German war?

A At that time I was a divisional commander and I am not well acquainted or well informed with the details of what occurred domestically, that is, matters such as what items were discussed at the Imperial Conference.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think he will help us very much on that phase.

o Mr. Witness, tell us did not the Kan-tokuen plan provide for the secret carrying out of preparatory measures for the war against the Soviet Union in accordance with the resolution of the conference which I just read?

CROSS

As far as I know I believe it was not plans to carry out an aggressive war against the Soviet Union. Preparations in the event that an attack came from the Soviet side were not adequate and therefore these preparations were made to meet this situation.

THE PRESIDENT: Any questions directed to get him to sev Japan was guilty of aggression are futile.

the data concerning the military forces of the Soviet Union on the basis of the estimation of Japanese intelligence organs, isn't it so?

A Some are based on such estimates and some are not. It is based on a general information gained from all of these sources.

O You do not evail yourself of any trustworthy documentary data on the U. S. S. R. armed forces which you can produce to the Court, do you?

A I do not have any data at the present time which I can present to the Tribunal.

So the numerous data which you give dividing

Q Mr. Witness, tell us did not the Kan-tokuen plan provide for the secret carrying out of preparatory measures for the war against the Soviet Union in accordance with the resolution of the conference which I just read?

A As far as I know I believe it was not plans to corry out an aggressive war against the Soviet Union. Preparations in the event that an attack came from the Soviet side were not adequate and therefore these preparations were made to meet this situation.

THE PRESIDENT: Any questions directed to get him to say Japan was guilty of aggression are futile.

o "ill you tell us, Mr. Witness, do you give the data concerning the military forces of the Soviet Union on the basis of the estimation of Japanese intelligence organs, isn't it so?

Some are based on such estimates and some are not. It is based on a general information gained from all of these sources.

You do not evail yourself of any trustworthy documentary data on the U. S. S. R. armed forces which you can produce to the Court, do you?

I do not have any data at the present time which I can present to the Tribunal.

So the numerous data which you give dividing

24

23

22

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

them by separate years and concerning all branches of service of the Soviet army you give only from your memory, isn't it so?

In view of the fact that I was a section chief concerned with Russian affairs in the army I felt that compared to the other officers I was much better informed with regard to information intelligence concerning the Soviet -- correction: in view of the fact that the greater part of my life has been devoted to Russian affairs I feel that compared to other persons I am more qualified and better informed with regard to matters concerning the Soviet.

THE PRESIDENT: Tomorrow being a holiday, proclaimed by the Supreme Commander, this Tribunal will not sit.

"e will recess for 15 minutes.

(Thereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Whalen & Duda

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, is not the information given by you that the USSR in 1932 allegedly -- in 1933 allegedly had three million soldiers in the army an obvious fantasy?

A It was not a mere guess. It was based on estimates which were based on facts. They were based on estimates, of course, but these estimates had certain foundations.

Q Then, how can your contentions made in your affidavit be reconciled with the statements made by you in 1931, in which you said that the USSR was unable to wage war and feared for an invasion?

A Yes, I said so. The capacity to wage war can not be decided merely by the number of troops.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, by putting this question I wanted to test the credibility of the Witness KASAHARA. I refer the attention of the honorable Members of the Tribunal to exhibit 696 and 2671.

By this I finish my cross-examination, because I consider it useless to continue it.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

3

1

BY MR. BLAKENEY:

4

Mr. Witness, referring you to exhibit 702: from this, part of paragraph 1 was read to you concerning the purported decision that preparations for waging war against Russia should be completed before the middle of 1934, and you have testified concerning the meaning of that. Now, I want to ask you whether in the same paragraph you do not make the following

10

statement, which was not read to you:

11 12

"This is, however, not the intention of the entire army."

13

14

Does that statement also appear in the message of Lieutenant Colonel KANDA purporting to report your statement?

15 16

Yes, it appears in that.

18

17

And will you state the meaning of that phrase in connection with the remainder of the paragraph?

A As I have stated before, I have no definite

19 20

21

22

23

25

this message; but judging from the facts at that time. the facts are that both the General Staff and the War Office -- in the General Staff and the War Office the section chiefs had a tentative agreement for a war

recollection as to the exact wording or phrasing of

against the Soviet Union -- not war, but military preparations vis-a-vis the Soviet Union. And when the problem was approached concretely, it was discovered that from the standpoint of materials and expenses, such preparations could not be carried out, and there were many among the military who did not agree with

these plans. Therefore, I know that at that time there were many people who eppesed such plans in order 8

to secure --

THE MONITOR: And I know that at that time there were many people who were opposed to this idea that a war with Soviet Union was necessary to secure Manchuria.

(Continuing) Therefore, no decisions were made with regard to a war against the Soviet Union.

Did the decision or plan or whatever it may have been of the section chiefs become policy of the Army General Staff?

No, they were not adopted by the General Staff.

And in connection with exhibit No. 696, from which also selections were read to you in crossexamination, I wish to remind you that you were crossexamined in connection with this document which is entitled "Summary of an Address Made to His Excellency HARADA by Lieutenant Colonel KASAHARA." You were cross-examined in connection with it on the 10th of

2

3

6

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

October of last year. Have you that document there? A No, I do not have it.

MR. BLAKENEY: Let it be handed to him, please. 1 (Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.) Q I want you to look at the document, especially paragraph 2-f thereof, and read to the Tribunal, or confirm that what I read is contained in that paragraph, paragraph f being headed "Militarization of the people. "All of her efforts are bent on the militarization of the public. "Ocoabuayum (Osoabeahem) - Society for Aircraft 10 Lookout and Gas and Chemical Lookout. 11 "In January the year before last, 3,000,000, in 12 January last year, 5,000,000, in January this year, 13 9,000,000. The effect is infiltrated even into the kinder-15 16 garten." 17 Does that appear there as part of that summary of an address? 19 A Yes, it is included. 20 Q Did facts which you observed as military attache 21 in the U.S.S.R. lead you to this conclusion which you 22 have here stated, that all of the efforts of the U.S.S.h. 23 were devoted to militarization of the public? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Did you actually visit schools and even

21

22

23

24

25

1 kindergartens and discover facts upon which you made 2 this statement? A Yes, I did visit kindergartens. This Osoabeahem, which is a National Defense 5 Aeronautics Sciences --t'he figures are based on what appeared in Russian newspapers so I believe they are accurate. THE MONITOR: The word is National Defense 8 Aeronautics Science Society. 10 Q And continuing with the same document, paragraph 3 "Conclusion." Tell me whether the following words 11 12 appear there as part of the summary of this purported 13 address: 14 "In short, it is self-evident that Soviet 15 kussia will change her policy from passive defense 16 to aggression in the future as her economic power and co 17 defense are completed." 18 Does that appear there? 19

- A les, that is the way I thought.
- Q And was that opinion of yours concerning the probability of Soviet -- of a Soviet policy of aggression the basis of your recommendations concerning the Soviet policy at that time?
 - A Yes, that is so.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

4

5

/

9

11

13

14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

24

25

23

objection? The defense counsel started asking leading questions. It is inadmissible. I ask to delete from the transcript the question and the part of the answer of the witness which he gave.

THE PRESIDENT: The question undoubtedly did suggest the answer. But the answer, it only goes to probative value at this stage. It weakens the value of the answer.

- Q Now, coming to the report made by you in March 1931, which is exhibit 2671. Will you state whether this report was made by order of the General Staff or on your own initiative?
 - A That is a report that I made on my own volition.
- Q Were reports of this type often made by military attaches?
- A I did not make such reports often, but only once. I felt that there was no objection to making a report of such a nature.
- Q After your returned to Japan and were attached to the General Staff office did you learn what disposition was made of this report?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q State what you learned in that connection?
 - A My report was not adopted at all by either the

War Office or the General Staff. I heard that it was abandoned, disregarded, as the opinions of an immature observer. The fact that national defenses should be strengthened was not of course objected to, but the advocation of war against the U.S.S.R. was of course totally disregarded.

6

Q During the time that you served in the

General Staff Office after your return from Moscow,

did the General Staff draw any such plans for aggres
sive war against Russia?

A As I have already stated, no such plans were made.

In a question addressed to you some time ago,

you were asked concerning the functions of the General Staff in connection with drawing war plans; and
you made some explanations of the functions of the
General Staff in that regard. I wish to ask you

whether, in addition to what you have already ex
plained, it was or was not part of the General Staff
theretions, in the event of the drafting of war plans
by the government, to confer with the government.

A When war plans are drawn up by the governnent, I believe that, naturally, the government would neutral the General Staff.

And from what sources does the General Staff

20x pect to obtain materials for presentation to the

250 vernment in case of such consultation?

THE PRESIDENT: We do not need this enlightenment. We know that governments have General Staffs
to consult them, and we know where General Staffs
get their information.

Q. You need not answer, Mr. Witness.

Do the duties of the military attache consist of obtaining information of value to the General Staff only?

A No, that is not so. Although the military attache does come under the General Staff -- Chief of the General Staff, the duty of the military attache consists in studying and investigation of everything that has to do with military matters, for instance, the military training, the military administration, the organization of the army, and so forth.

Q Coming to your period with the Kwantung Army, at the time you went there as Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army, were you still of the same opinion concerning the necessity for war against the USSR that you were in 1931?

A No, I did not. I felt that a war against the Soviet Union should be avoided by all means.

Q What had occurred to cause you to change that opinion?

THE PRESIDENT: We are not concerned with his fluctuations of opinion. So far as he reduced his opinions to writing and sent them on to this General Staff and they were entertained, they are

relevant, of course. We do not want his opinions. There were millions of Japanese soldiers, and they all had opinions of one sort or another, and we do not want to know what they were. He is not here to attempt any personal justification. He is here to tell us what he told the General Staff and what he knows of the attitude of the General Staff and the Japanese Government in relation to war. It does not affect his credibility either.

MR. BLAKENEY: I quite agree, your Honor.
And, of course, I did not ask the witness for his
opinion; I asked him why he changed it.

THE PRESIDENT: I said we do not want to know what were the fluctuations of his personal opinions, nor do we. How will it help, Mr. Blakeney?

MR. BLAKENEY: I am quite willing to leave it at that. The subject has been opened by the prosecution as to what this witness' opinions were some ten years earlier. I agree they are quite immaterial to the position of the Kwantung Army in 1941-145.

I understand that it is desired to put one or two questions on reexamination on behalf of Mr. HIROTA.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, counseller.

,

Q

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. HANAI:

Q It is said that you submitted a report to the General Staff concerning a conversation with HIROTA. Did you do that on HIROTA's orders?

A No, I did not make the report to the General Staff. The report was written -- addressed to Major General HARADA. It was written at his request. He requested that I write the conversation that took place between Mr. HIROTA and myself -- and Major General HARADA.

THE MONITOR: Correction: Major General HARADA had a conversation with Ambassador HIROTA. At that time Major General HARADA asked me to put this conversation in writing. I did not submit this as a report to the General Staff. Neither is it a report written at the order or request of Ambassador HIROTA.

- Q Did Ambassador HIROTA know that you had written this report -- what you had written after this conversation?
 - A I believe that he did not know.

 MR. HANAI: That is all, sir.

 MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that the witness be

released on the usual terms.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. HANAI:

Q It is said that you submitted a report to the General Staff concerning a conversation with HIROTA. Did you do that on HIROTA's orders?

A No, I did not make the report to the General Staff. The report was written -- addressed to Major General HARADA. It was written at his request. He requested that I write the conversation that took place between Mr. HIROTA and myself -- and Major General HARADA.

THE MONITOR: Correction: Major General HARADA had a conversation with Ambassador HIROTA. At that time Major General HARADA asked me to put this conversation in writing. I did not submit this as a report to the General Staff. Neither is it a report written at the order or request of Ambassador HIROTA.

- Q Did Ambassador HIROTA know that you had written this report -- what you had written after this conversation?
 - A I believe that he did not know.
- MR. HANAI: That is all, sir.
 - MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that the witness be released on the usual terms.

1 2

3

6

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

```
THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.
 1
                     (Whereupon, the witness was ex-
 2
           cused.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

3

5

4

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLAKENEY: I next read from Prosecution Exhibit 703, the affidavit of General USHIROKU Jun; first in connection with the so-called Otsu Plan of 1935, which has been shown by the prosecution's evidence to have been the ordinary annual operations plan to be used in case of hostilities with the USSR.

I read from page 4, starting with the fourth question:

"O What kind of attack plans did you make up according to the OTSU plan of 1935?

"A It was planned that the main attack should be directed to the east, i.e., the Pogranichnaya, and that in the Heiho and Hailar area we should be on the defensive. But, afterwards in 1939, I knew in the OTSU plan that supplementary attack should be carried out in the north and south of the main attack line. Yet, the attack areas changed with year.

"Q "as it contemplated to carry out landing operations with ships according to the OTSU plan of 1935?

"A When success was achieved in the main attack in Manchuria (airforce operation) a landing operation was planned to land one division in Amerika Bay to divert the Russian Army and to facilitate the operations in the main attack area.

.

,

"Q What was the aim of the war according to the plan of 1935?

"A I did not know the true aim, but its operational aim was to occupy Maritime Provinces and to eliminate air-raids upon Japan proper by the air-forces of the Soviet Union Army."

I will skip the next two questions and answers, which were read by the prosecution.

"Q What kind of plans of operations against USSR was laid down in 1939, when you were Chief of the Army in Peian?

A The aim of the 4th Army under my command was to defend the Sunuri, Aigun and Haiho areas by one division and the frontier guards. In case of outbreak of war, one division was to be reinforced in the 1st month of war, and one more in the 2nd month. But, in fact, at the beginning of war we had troops only capable of defending the above-mentioned areas.

"Q What kind of plans of operation was laid down in 1944, when you were the Vice-Chief of the General Staff?

"A The operations against USSR at the time when I was the Vice-Chief of the General Staff were planned to be the defensive ones in each front."

"Q What was the aim of the war according to

2 3

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

18

17

19

20

22 23

24

25

the plan of 1935?

"A I did not know the true aim, but its operational aim was to occupy Maritime Provinces and to eliminate air-raids upon Japan proper by the airforces of the Soviet Union Army."

I will skip the next two questions and answers, which were read by the prosecution.

"Q What kind of plans of operations against USSR was laid down in 1939, when you were Chief of the Army in Peian?

The aim of the 4th Army under my command was to defend the Sunuri, Aigun and Haiho areas by one division and the frontier guards. In case of outbreak of war, one division was to be reinforced in the 1st month of war, and one more in the 2nd month. But, in fact, at the beginning of war we had troops only capable of defending the above-mentioned areas.

"Q What kind of plans of operation was laid down in 1944, when you were the Vice-Chief of the General Staff?

"A The operations against USSR at the time when I was the Vice-Chief of the General Staff were planned to be the defensive ones in each front."

I skip the next question and answer and begin reading at the top of page 5:

"O State the process of the reinforcements of Manchurian aviation from the standpoint of ex-Inspector General of Aviation in Japan.

"A The airforce of Manchuria immediately after the Manchurian Incident was very weak, but, by the commenced large reinforcement in 1937, the strength of the 1st line was 24 flying companies (240 aeroplanes) in 1938, 34 companies (339 aeroplanes) in 1941--"

I am sorry, my punctuation was wrong:
"in 1938, 34 companies (339 aeroplanes),
in 1941, 49 companies (about 600 aeroplanes). The
whole aviation corps belonged to the 2nd and 5th
aviation divisions.

"Q State the kinds of aeroplanes concentrated into Manchuria. Which kind of aeroplanes was many in number, fighters, bombers or scout planes?

"A Fighters were the greatest in number and bombers were the next, but, I cannot tell you exactly the number of them.

"O State the plan of employing the aviation corps of 1941 in Manchuria.

"A In case of the outbreak of war, the main

-

I skip the next question and answer and begin reading at the top of page 5:

"O State the process of the reinforcements of Manchurian aviation from the standpoint of ex-Inspector General of Aviation in Japan.

"A The airforce of Manchuria immediately after the Manchurian Incident was very weak, but, by the commenced large reinforcement in 1937, the strength of the 1st line was 24 flying companies (240 aeroplanes) in 1938, 34 companies (339 aeroplanes) in 1941--"

I am sorry, my punctuation was wrong:
"in 1938, 34 companies (339 aeroplanes),
in 1941, 49 companies (about 600 aeroplanes). The
whole aviation corps belonged to the 2nd and 5th
aviation divisions.

"Q State the kinds of aeroplanes concentrated into Manchuria. Which kind of aeroplanes was many in number, fighters, bombers or scout planes?

"A Fighters were the greatest in number and bombers were the next, but, I cannot tell you exactly the number of them.

"O State the plan of employing the aviation corps of 1941 in Manchuria.

"A In case of the outbreak of war, the main

forces of them would be to proper the operations of the Japanese Army by means of making an attack and of bringing a pressure on the east, i. e., the Soviet Union Army stationing in Maritime Province.

"Q How was the plan of operations at the time when you were Commander-in-Chief of the 3rd Area Army of Kwantung Army?

"A Concerning the plans of employing the Area Army at the time when I was Commander-in-Chief of the 3rd Area Army, between the 1st and the 2nd plans was found quite a difference. The 3rd Area Army contemplated enforcing defensive operations in the Heiho, Hailar, and Wuchakow areas till May 1945, that is, the 4th Army was planned to occupy Sunwu, Aigun and Heiho areas, the 119th Division to occupy the Hailar area, and the 107th Division the Wuchakow area. Since the beginning of June 1945 the above plans were empletely changed. The Area Army Headquarters had a new command upon the 30th and 44th Armies, having been transferred from Chichehaerh to Mukden, and the 44th Army was engaged in defending the Wuchakow-Taonan-Tunglias line, the Army Headquarters was located in Liasyuan and the 30th Army took the defensive in the Kilin, Changchou and Ssupinchieh areas.

"Q How was the plan of operations at that time?

2

0

4

)

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

"A The plan of operations made the 44th Army bound to stand on the defensive in the vicinities of Wuchakow, Toanan and Tunglias.

"Q How was the process of the operations of the 3rd Area Army at the beginning of war between Japan and U. S. S. R?

"A At the outbreak of Russo-Japanese War in Aug. 1945, having made the 44th Army retreat from the already occupied positions to the Mukden and Siasyang areas and by so doing establish a secret connection with the 30th Army, I placed the 3rd Area Army on the defensive in the Kilin-Chanchieh-Mukden-Siasyang line. Particularly I made the 180th division retreat from Jeho to Chinhou and then retreat to the south (Liaoyang) in view of the difficult defence. The defence line of Kilin-Changchun-Ssupingchieh-Mukden-Liaoyang was not the last one of the Japanese Army. If the war would turn unfavourable for our Army, we should intend to retreat to the Jungfu and Antung Provinces, where our final resistance would be tried against the Soviet Union Army. This was to be the very last defence line in Manchuria. This plan of operation, however, was not put in practice, being a mere plan of re-Therefore, on August 15th, 1945 when the

telegram signed by the Emperor ordering to disarm our Army unconditionally was sent to me, the 3rd Area Army was still stationed in the Kilin-Chang-chun-Liaoyang line."

Leaving General USHIROKU's testimony for 1 the time being, I call as a witness YANO, Masao who, for a time, was Chief of Staff for General USHIROKU. His testimony is recorded in document 1155. 5 M A S A O Y A N O, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testi-7 fied through Japanese interpreters as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BLAKENEY: 10 Mr. Witness, will you please state your 11 name and address. 12 13 My address is, the City of Fukuoka, Nagahamacho, 2 Chome, No. 55. My name, YANO, Masao. 14 15 MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that the witness be 16 handed defense document 1155. 17 (Whereupon, a document was handed 18 to the witness.) 19 And I ask you, Mr. Witness, to examine that 20 and state whether it is your affidavit bearing your 21 signature and seal. 22 A There is no mistake. 23 Are the contents true and correct? 24

There is no mistake.

MR. BLAKENEY: The affidavit, defense

decument 1155, is offered in evidence. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1155 will receive exhibit No. 2672. (Whereupon, the document above re-ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2672 and received in evidence.)

25

MR. BLAKENEY: I now read the affidavit which, 1 omitting the formal parts, is as follows: "I am an ex-Lieutenant General of the Japa-3 nese Army, and now living at No. 55 2 Chome Nagahamacho, Fukuoka. "Since November 1944 I served as the Chief of Staff of the Third District Army under General Ushiroku's command, and staved in Thitsinar until March 1945. I subsequently became commander of the 57th Division and went to 'Sanshinfu'. Upon my arrival there I received an order from the Kwantung 10 Army and was transferred along with my division from 11 12 Manchuria to Fukuoka for joining in the Pacific War. 13 "I was connected with the drawing up of the 14 plan of operations of the Third District Army for the 15 year 1945 while I was the Chief of Staff of that Army. 16 The circumstances are stated as follows: 17 "Although I am not well acquainted with the 18 plan of operations before 1945, I knew that the out-19 line of the plan was to defend against possible 20 attacks of the USFR, the line of the right bank of 21 the Amour River in the north, and the line of Hailar 22 and Great Hsimgan mountains in the west. However, 23

when I arrived there, I received a new instruction

regarding new operation plans from the headquarters

MR. BLAKENEY: I now read the affidavit which, 1 omitting the formal parts, is as follows: "I am an ex-Lieutenant General of the Japa-2 3 nese Army, and now living at No. 55 2 Chome Nagahamacho, Fukuoka. "Since November 1944 I served as the Chief 5 of Staff of the Third District Army under General Ushiroku's command, and staved in Thitsihar thill March 1945. I subsequently became commander of the 57th Division and went to 'Sanshinfu'. Upon my arrival there I received an order from the Kwantung 10 Army and was transferred along with my division from 11 12 Manchuria to Fukuoka for joining in the Pacific War. 13 "I was connected with the drawing up of the 14 plan of operations of the Third District Army for the 15 year 1945 while I was the Chief of Staff of that Army. 16 The circumstances are stated as follows: 17 "Although I am not well acquainted with the 18 plan of operations before 1945, I knew that the out-19 line of the plan was to defend against possible attacks of the USFR, the line of the right bank of 21 the Amour River in the north, and the line of Hailer 22 and Great Hsi: ngan mountains in the west. However, 23 when I arrived there, I received a new instruction 24 regarding new operation plans from the headquarters 25

of the Kwantung Army. According to this, the Third District Army was to make gradual retreat after 2 offering a slight resistance in the area of Fongo and Hailar, and was to make the final resistance on the line of Peian, Nonko, Jarantong and Msingan. Following this instruction, the Third District Army

drew up a concrete plan and when the plan was about to be reported to the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Armv, I was transferred.

"At that time the Third District Army was composed of three divisions and one brigade. The divisions were the 57th, 107th and 119th, and only the 57th division was well seasoned, the others being newly organized inferior divisions.

"I became the commander of this well seasoned 57th Division. However, this division was also transferred to Japan proper for the Pacific War. A newly organized division was substituted for it, thus rendering all divisions of the Third Army inferior in quality.

"After I arrived in Japan proper, I was told that the headquarters of the Third District Army was transferred from Tsitsihar to Mukden."

MR. BLAKENEY: You may cross-examine.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov. 1 COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I refer the 2 Members of the Tribunal to exhibits 703, 722, 837, 3 839A. Confining themselves to reference to these 4 exhibits, the prosecution is not going to cross-5 examine this witness. 7 MR. BLAKEMEY: Then I ask that the witness 8 be released on the usual terms. 9 THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. 10 (Whereupon, the witness was ex-11 cused.) 12 We will adjourn now until half-past one. 13 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 14 taken.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Kapleau & Wolf

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: As additional evidence that the plans of the Japanese Army vis-a-vis the USER were at all times drawn from the point of view of defense, I shall read some excerpts from prosecution exhibit 837, the affidavit of Major-General OTSUBO Kazuma. From page 5, commencing with the sixth answer, in which the witness discusses the Japanese operations plans for 1942 and 1943:

"At the first stage of the war against Soviet, the First front was to attack and occupy the Soviet Maritime Province. The Fourth Army of the Second front, by engaging in the diversional movement in the Blagoveshchensk District, was to cut off the Trans-Siberia Main Line, give a blow to this supply line, and facilitate the action of the First front which was in charge of the most important duty. The duty of the Sixth Army was to hold the Red Army from invading into Manchuria from Zabaikalye and

engage in the defensive action.

"Q Were these plans of the Kwantung Army offensive plans?

"A Yes, in the eastern district, the plans of operations against Soviet were offensive."

I pass now to page 7, the second answer of which I shall read the first 2 paragraphs.

"In 1945, the duty of the 3rd front was to interrupt the advance of the Soviet Army in the vicinity of Chaonan, Wuchakow, and Tungliao in Manchuria. According to the plan of operations in 1945, the main forces of the third front should take up positions in the districts of Mukden, Supingchieh, and Hsinking, and if the enemies would come to attack, should destroy them in these districts."

I omit the remainder.

Further on the 1943 operations plans, I read from prosecution exhibit 833, the affidavit of Major MATSUURA Kusuo, page 4, the third and fourth paragraphs of the last answer.

"The 1st Area Army consisted of the 2nd,
3rd, 5th and the 20th Armies, and its headquarters
were stationed at Mutanchiang. The object of the
Army lay in the hostile operations against the Red
Army in the occupation of the Soviet Maritime Province.

"The 2nd Area Army consisted of the 4th and the 6th Armies, and its headquarters were stationed at Chichihaerh. The object of the Army lay in the defensive operations towards the west, with the object of covering the offensive of the 1st Area Army."

In connection with the question of the celebrated "Kantokuen" -- this being the abbreviated form of the Japanese for "Kwantung Army Special Maneuver" -- there is already a considerable amount of evidence in the record. Returning to prosecution exhibit 703, the interrogation of General USHIROKU, I shall read from page 4 the last question and answer, which unqualifiedly confirms the testimony of General KASAHARA that the Kantokuen was nothing more than a reinforcement of the Kwantung Army.

"Q Do you know anything about "Kan-Toku-En" plan?

"A In September 1944, in Chichihaerh I knew of it for the first time from Chief of the 3rd Area Army Staff, Major-General WATANABE, Hiroshi, "Kan-Toku-En" meant the reinforcement of the 13 divisions stationed in Manchuria and the transferring of the 51st and 57th divisions into Manchuria. I myself was then in Kwantung and knew of the above."

7.

That is all I read at that point.

Similarly, in prosecution exhibit 670,

TAKEBE's interrogation, we find on page 2 the following question and answer further proving that the Kantokuen had no purpose other than the simple one of reinforcement; I am reading the second question from the end of the page.

"Q What kind of orders did you receive from the War Minister?

"A I didn't receive any concrete orders from the War Minister. For instance, the situation just after the beginning of the Russo-German War not being clear, I went to TOJO by aeroplane to make sure whether reinforcement of the Kwantung Army meant the opening of a war against Soviet Russia. War Minister TOJO said only that it was necessary to reinforce the Kwantung Army and didn't explain the reason."

Another of the prosecution witnesses who testified in absentia, Major-General OTSUBO, makes quite clear in his affidavit the innocent character of the Kantokuen. From prosecution exhibit 837 I read from page 3, commencing with the second answer.

"A The special purpose of the 'Kan-Toku-En'

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

plan was the speedy training of troops for the emergency of war. It was difficult to practice the training in the Japanese homeland.

"Q Were these the troops prepared for the war against Soviet according to the plan of the 'Kan-Toku-En' in 1941-42?

"A These were the troops to be used, for example, in the wars against Soviet, the United states, or Britain, according to the changes in international situation."

Lieutenant-General TOMINAGA, in his affidavit. prosecution exhibit 705, says (page 6): "Kantokuen plan was formed by Major-General TANAKA Shinichi. the successor of my post of the Chief of 1st Department of General Staff Headquarters in the middle of 1941."

I call as my next witness Lieutenant-General TANAKA, Shinichi, whose testimony is embodied in defense document 1323.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, the 21 witness TANAKA, Shinichi is in court and has previously 22 testified before this Tribunal.

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: The accused TOJO is not in court. He is interviewing his counsel, with the permission of the Tribunal.

Colonel Ivanov.

violates the rule made by the Tribunal providing for the service of the affidavit on the prosecution in proper time. TANAKA's own affidavit was served upon the prosecution at 1605 hours yesterday, that is, after the end of the session of the court. I ask that, in connection with the affidavit, the ruling of the Tribunal be followed.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: The affidavit is quite a long one and I think will take the better part of the afternoon to read. Any deficiency of a few minutes from the 24 hours required prior to cross-examination will certainly be no greater than the defense often cheerfully accepted or in cases was forced to accept.

COLONEL IVANOV: I must state to the Tribunal that having no possibility of reading this affidavit previously, we have no opportunity to prepare our objections to the affidavit itself and to point out the parts to which we object.

MR. BLAKENEY: They have had twenty-two 1 hours, according to their statement. 2 THE PRESIDENT: How long is it? 3 MR. BLAKENEY: Quite long. It runs to 4 fourteen single-spaced typed pages. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Can you go on with another 6 witness or document? 7 MR. BLAKENEY: Yes, of course I can, sir, 8 but it won't contribute to the logical propontation 9 of the case. 10 THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Court 11 also says he did not receive his copy at the time 12 13 directed by the rule. I think you had better go ahead with 14 15 something else, Major Blakeney. 16 MR. BLAKENEY: Then, I ask that the wit-17 ness be stood down. 18 THE PRESIDENT: He is stood down. 19 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 20 MR. BLAKENEY: I now offer in evidence 21 defense document 1154, the affidavit of Major 22 General MATSUMURA Tomokatsu, who testified on behalf 23 of the prosecution on the 18th of October, 1946, 24 transcript page 8,138.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual

terms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1154 will receive exhibit No. 2673.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2673 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: I shall read from this affidavit certain parts as proof of the nature of the operations plans of the Kwantung Army. I commence with the fourth question on page 2:

"O Fow were the Kwantung Army's operations plans made?

"A They were made on the basis of the instructions of the central army authorities.

"O Were they made during your tenure of office?

"A In 1943, the plan for 1942 was simply followed, as there was no instruction that year; but in 1944 and 1945 they were prepared on the basis of the instructions from Tokyo.

"O "ould you say that none of the operations plans was made by the Kwantung Army alone?

"A Yes.

"o "ere the contents or nature of the operations plans changed each year? "A In 1942 it was planned in the event of hostilities to take the offensive with the main forces against the Maritime Province. The plan of 1943 simply followed that of 1942. In 1944 the plan for making an offensive was abandoned and that of defense at the border line was adopted. In 1945, to check the Soviet offensive with gradual retreats into Manc.uria and to use the mountain district of southeastern Manchuria as the last line became the plan for that year.

"A What was the reason for adopting the strategy of the offensive against the Maritime Province?

"A I do not know about its reason, as no explanation was given by the central Army authorities, but our duty was to paralyse their air bases by occupying the Maritime Province.

"Q "as general operations scheme against the Soviet Far Eastern territory shown in the instructions of the operations plan?

"A No over-all operations plan for the Soviet Far Eastern territory was indicated to us.

"Q Were the operations plans shown to units under the command of the Kwantung Army?

"A The commanders-in-chief of the various

4 5

7 8

"A In 1942 it was planned in the event of hostilities to take the offensive with the main forces against the Maritime Province. The plan of 1943 simply followed that of 1942. In 1944 the plan for making an offensive was abandoned and that of defense at the border line was adopted. In 1945, to check the Soviet offensive with gradual retreats into Manc.uria and to use the mountain district of southeastern Manchuria as the last line became the plan for that year.

"O What was the reason for adopting the strategy of the offensive against the Maritime Province?

"A I do not know about its reason, as no explanation was given by the central Army authorities, but our duty was to paralyse their air bases by occupying the Maritime Province.

"Q "as general operations scheme against the Soviet Far Eastern territory shown in the instructions of the operations plan?

"A No over-all operations plan for the Soviet Far Eastern territory was indicated to us.

"Q "ere the operations plans shown to units under the command of the Kwantung Army?

"A The commanders-in-chief of the various

1 2

7 8

armies were shown only the excerpts of the matters 1 connected with them. They were forbidden to show 2 them to the units under their command or other units. 3 "Q Did a divisional commander know matters 5 in his charge? "A Nothing concerning the operations plan 6 was shown to divisional commanders. 7 "Q Was utilization of White Russians contem-8 plated in the operations plans? 9 10 "A Absolutely not. 11 "Q How was the time for commencement of 12 operations stipulated in the instructions regard-13 ing the operations plan: 14 "A The instructions for operations showed 15 only plans and preparations and contained no men-16 tion as to the time for commencement of operations. 17 "Q Could the Kwantung Army start an opera-18 tion of its own accord? 19 "A No, it could not start an operation by 20 its arbitrary decision. Everything was to be done 21 on instructions from the central authorities. 22 "Q That was to be done if it was attacked by 23 the Soviet forces? 24 "A We were to fight in self-defence; but the 25

principle was that instructions from the central

authorities. 1 "O "hat was to be done if it was attacked by the Soviet forces? 3 "A We were to fight in self-defence; but 4 the principle was that instructions from the central 5 authorities were to be awaited concerning the carry-6 ing out of operations plans." 7 I skip four questions and answers, resum-8 ing with the last question on the page: 9 "O That was your estimate of the Soviet 10 Far Eastern Army's strength while you were there? 11 "A Sniper Divisions 20 - 30 12 Tanks 1,000 - 1,200 13 Planes 1,000 - 1,500 14 "Strength of the troops 700,000 - 800,000 15 men. It was estimated that it would be increased 16 17 to from 30 to 40 divisions if and when war came 18 (according to the information in the hands of the 19 Second Section of the Kwantung Army). 20 "O "hat was the military strength of the 21 Kwantung Army during your tenure of office? 22 "A At the time of summer, 1943, its strength 23 was as follows: Divisions, 13. Tank divisions, 2 24 (about 900 tanks). Air divisions, 2 (about 700-25 800 planes). Strength of the troops, about 600,000

officers and men. Since the autumn of the same year the numbers of tanks and airplanes decreased remarkably.

"" What was the state of the replenishment of the personnel of a division and its strength?

"A The fixed number of men and horses in a division was about 70-80 per cent of the war-time strength and was far from being adequate. Since the autumn of 1943 the crack divisions were gradually transferred to the south and only newly-formed divisions of inferior quality were left.

"O "hat was the military strength of the Manchoukuo Army?

"A The Manchoukuo army was increased from the former 80,000 to about 100,000 by virtue of the 1945 plan, but it was difficult to increase further, as there was a serious shortage of arms."

I now call as a witness FUTAMI, Akishuro, whose testimony is contained in defense document 1149.

1	AKISHUHU FUTAMI, called as a witness
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly
3	sworn, testified through Japanese interpre-
4	ters as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. BLAKENEY:
7	O Please state your name and residence.
8	A My address: Tokyo, Setagaya Matsubara
9	3-1099. My name: FUTAMI, Akishuro.
10	MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that the witness be
11	handed defense document 1,149.
12	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
13	the witness.)
14	I ask you, Mr. Witness, to examine that
15	and state whether it is your affidavit, bearing
16	your signature and seal.
17	A It is my affidavit, and my signature and
18	seal appears on it.
19	Are the contents thereof true and correct?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. BLAKENEY: The affidavit, defense
22	document 1,149, is offered in evidence.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual
25	terms.
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1,149

will receive exhibit No. 2674.

("Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2674 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: I read the affidavit, which, omitting the formal parts, is as follows:

"I, FUTAMI, Akishuro, served at Rashin in
Korea as the Commander of the Rashin Fortress, from
December, 1942, to March, 1945. The units under
me were the headquarters of the fortress and a
heavy artillery regiment. The fortress was equipped
with four 15-centimetre cannons and eight 7.5-centimetre
cannons. "e had no guns of larger calibre. The
15-centimetre cannons were fixed batteries and the
7.5 centimetre mobile field guns.

"About March, 1944, according to the order of the Imperial General Headquarters, the main strength of the artillery regiment was transferred to Japan proper, leaving about 100 men. The batteries were also removed then and only several 7.5 centimetre cannons remained in Rashin.

"The Keiko district was outside my jurisdiction, but there was no fortress in and around Keiko. There were only field combat positions."

You may cross-examine.

.

2

1

3

4

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23 24

Spratt & Luda

- 4

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, we refer the Tribunal to exhibit 727 and 718. The prosecution do not desire to cross-examine this witness.

MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that he be excused on the usual terms.

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. BLAKENEY: We now come, with the reservation of the testimeny of General TANAKA and others not yet ready, to the questions of neutrality pacts and neutrality. We have already seen, from the testimony of Mr. QTA, exhibit 2659, that negotiations for a neutrality pact between Russia and Japan had been undertaken upon the initiative of Ambassador TOGO in 1939 or early 1940. The pact which finally resulted has been introduced into evidence, prosecution exhibit No. 45, and read into the record at page 17,239. The pact is of sufficient importance that I should like to be permitted to read again its very brief operative provisions.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no occasion to do that, Major.

MAJOR BLAKENEY: Then, I summarize the provisions by reminding the Tribunal that they require

maintenance of peaceful and friendly relations, mutual respect for territorial inviolability, and the maintenance mutually of neutrality in the event of military action involving the parties.

That neither the Japanese Government nor the Japanese Army, including the Kwantung Army, ever had any intention other than faithfully to abide by the spirit of the Neutrality Pact will be shown by the evidence to follow. We have first the statement of Minister of War TOJO, on 28 June 1941, as recorded in prosecution exhibit 1098, an abstract from Marquis KIDO's diary entry of that date.

Inasmuch as the exhibit has been read, I call the attention of the Tribunal to lines 4 and 5 and the first half of line 6 thereof.

THE PRESIDENT: We will give you permission to read two and one-half lines.

MR. BLAKENEY: Which are as follows; that TOJO explained: "The Army's policy dealing with the German-Soviet War is that the attitude of the Kwantung Army towards that war should be calm and prudent."

Next I offer in evidence defense document No. 1145, the affidavit of Lieutenant General TANABE, Moritake.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

 will receive exhibit No. 2675.

(Whereupon, the document above

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1145

referred to was marked defense exhibit
No. 2675 and received in evidence.)
THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I apologize, but we expected that the witness will be called and will appear on the witness stand; and we object to the introduction of this affidavit now.

This witness is not an expert and his affidavit contains nothing but unfounded statements, opinions, for which no grounds are given and for which there are no concrete facts. From our point of view, the document has no probative value at all.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: I do not understand whether objection is being made because the witness is not produced as well as because, in the opinion of counsel, the affidavit has no probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: If the affidavit is not rejected, he wants the witness called.

MR. BLAKENEY: Well, I should like, first, to say a word on that point.

I want to say that it comes with singularly

phase the question of production of witnesses. In their Russian phase the prosecution introduced the affidavits of the seventeen witnesses, including four Soviet nationals and thirteen prisoners of war in their power, concerning whom objection was made and production for cross-examination insisted upon. It would serve no useful purpose again to mention them by name. It should be noted that three of those seventeen were announced to be dead at the time the affidavits were produced.

In addition, a half-hour's perusal of the index of the record, which, anticipating that this objection might be raised, I made last evening, discloses the following partial list of witnesses of various nationalities -- but all under the control of the prosecuting nations -- who testified by affidavit and not corporeally:

Seventy-six Americans, including one major general, one ambassador and one secretary of state, as well as a lieutenant colonel who testified that he was chief of the investigation of the Legal Section of SCAP in Tokyo.

Seventy-two Australians.

Fifty-six British, including two major

generals, one ambassador, and one under-secretary of state.

2

1

Fifteen Burmese.

3 4

Nineteen Chinese.

5

Sixty-nine Dutch, including one governor-

Thirty-three Filipinos; thirty-five French;

6

general.

7 8

thirty Indians; seven Indo-Chinese; twenty-six Indo-

9

nesians; thirty-eight Japanese; one Korean; four Portu-

10 11

guese; five Russians; three South Seas Islanders; one Swiss; or a total of 546 witnesses for this off-hard

12

survey.

13

The attendance of General TANABE, whose

15

affidavit is now under consideration for the giving of testimony on behalf of the defense, was requested

16

November last. But by paper No. 602, the 25th of

17 18 November, the Tribunal ordered that every facility

19

20

and assistance be provided for the submission of written interrogatories for General TANABE at the

21

place where he was located. The written interrogatories were submitted and defense document 1145 is the result.

23

24

and I do not see how we can reject it unless it amounts to swearing the issue, as it may, then the maximum right the prosecution have is to ask that the witness be called for cross-examination by them. If the document is admitted that application can be made and we will consider it, as we are considering other similar applications on behalf of the defense.

tive value or whether the affidavit consists of conclusions, I point out that this man was Vice Chief of the General Staff, which means that he was the second man in the hierarchy of the Army Supreme Command. Certainly, then, he is a man who can state the intention of the Supreme Command. He can state what they made their principle and what they felt, which is what he undertakes to do.

argument made by my learned colleague went beyond the target, missed the target. If there were proper explanations of the absence of the witness, we would gladly have accepted the affidavit without calling the witness. I draw the attention of the Members of the Tribunal to the fact that the affidavit of TANABE, Moritake, doesn't comply with the

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

21

23

24

established rules, with the rules pertaining to affidavits which when drawn up must contain facts, concrete facts, which are known to the witness. All the statements rade by the witness in the affidavit are mere assertions of the witness, groundless assertions of the witness, who is not an expert. Only on this ground have we objected to the introduction of this affidavit, which does not comply with the established rules.

THE PRESIDENT: Again you are a long time in making that objection. I hesitated for some minutes after Lr. Blakeney tendered that affidavit before I said it was admitted. Tell, the first question is whether we will admit this affidavit.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, the cause of our delay is that Mr. Blakeney did not notify us that he was not going to call the witness for cross-examination, and we expected the witness to be called.

Soviet prosecutor should have expected that.

THE PERSIDENT: Is he on your order of proof?

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, the third paragraph certainly appears objectionable, Major Blakeney.

2

on that if the Tribunal thinks so.

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

19

18

21

22

20

23

24

While it is true that the language may be inartistic, I should like to point out that there are two distinct, concrete statements of fact therein. That the Kwant; g Army, under the command of its Commanding General, has complied with the intentions of the central army authorities, which intentions are mentioned in the preceding paragraph, and that the army authorities at Tokyo, of whom the man now speaking is one of the highest, had confidence in the General's leadership, having in mind the objectives mentioned above. I quite agree that the language of that paragraph is not the language which a lawyer would have used, but I must point out to the Tribunal that this mun was held a prisoner of war by the Dutch in Medan, Sumatra, and no lawyor was able to go and see him and take his affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: More objectionable, of course, is the statement that the Kwantung Army had always assumed a prudent and proper attitude against the USSR. That is purely an opinion to be derived from a consideration of the facts.

MR. BLANEMEY: I suggest to your Honor

that those words are defined by what precedes, that is, we made it our principle to do so and so, and we felt all the more the need to maintain a prudent attitude, referring back to that principle.

THE PRESIDENT: There are some statements of fact. "We made it our principle never to enter into a state of war against the USSR." That is one. "We felt all the more keenly the need of maintaining a prudent attitude towards the USSR."

That is another. But the third paragraph is certainly objectionable.

on many occasions stated that the opinions expressed by the witness couldn't serve as evidence and pointed it out to the parties. I fully agree with your words, your Honor, that the third paragraph is highly objectionable, that in this paragraph the opinions of the witness are stated, and therefore it cannot be accepted in evidence. As far as the first two paragraphs of the affidavit are concerned, I withdraw my previous objection, taking into consideration the view expressed by your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The affidavit is admitted except as to the third paragraph thereof.

M 1 r e 80 S e

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. BLAKENEY: I shall read the first two paragraphs of the affidavit of Moritake TANABE. (Reading:)

"I became Vice-Chief of the Army General Staff in November 1941. At that time, not only had The Supreme Command no intention whatsoever of engaging in any positive action against the USSR but on the contrary, Japan, being under constant threat of the Soviet's Far Eastern Army in those days, was devoting its utmost efforts to defensive measures. Circumstances being such, we had made it our principle never to enter into a state of war against the U.S.S.R.

"Especially after the outbreak of the Pacific War, we felt all the more keenly the need of maintaining a prudent attitude towards the U.S.S.R."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the prosecution may apply, of course, to have this witness called for cross-examination. Unless he is cross-examined, his affidavit -- his evidence may be of no value. But the prosecution must decide whether they will apply, or not.

MR. BLAKENEY: We shall, of course, be glad to join in such an application. We would still like to have the witness' attendance.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, earlier the

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

defense expressed the wish not to call the witness for cross-examination -- for examination, and we agreed to that wish of the defense, or to satisfy the wish of the defense. But that does not mean that we agree or accept the statement, the mere statements made by the witness in his affidavit which, in our opinion, are unfounded and groundless. We do notinsist on calling this witness for examination, but we ask you to take into consideration our remark.

MR. BLAKENEY: If counsel is stating, as
I undointood him to be, that the defense had in
some way agreed to the non-production of this witness,
he is stating as fact that which is not true. As
to counsel's gratuitous remarks concerning his
opinion of the ungrounded character of the evidence,
I suggest that he be admonished to refrain from
such remarks in the future. They amount to nothing
more than unsworn testimony by counsel and they are
grossly improper.

THE PRESIDENT: In such matters the Tribunal usually act on their own motion.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I am highly surprised at the attitude taken by Mr. Blakeney in this question and the fact that the affidavit was

produced without production of the witness speaks for itself. THE PRESIDENT: The debate is closed. MR. BLAKENEY: If the Tribunal please, I will have to request indulgence for a moment to re-arrange my papers. THE PRESIDENT: We will take the mid-efternoon recess now. We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1440, a recess was taken until 1455, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: If the Tribunal please, I am somewhat emberrassed how to proceed with any matter having any logical connection with what has preceded. Therefore, if I may take the liberty, I should like again to make this suggestion that if I may be permitted to read the direct testimony of the witness TANAKA, which, by the way, was served according to the receipt at 3:45 yesterday, that would be before the close of business, that will consume the rest of the day.

THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Court said he was not served at the time prescribed by the rule.

MR. BLAKENEY: I am quite sure that is correct because, by reason of mechanical difficulties we were not able to get it out until yesterday. It was certainly not deliberate. I was suggesting that I think no one would be discommoded if cross-examination need not start until Monday.

THE PRESIDENT: We would like logical sequence to be preserved and we do not want to waste an hour in order to secure logical sequence.

General Vasiliev. 1 GENERAL VASILIEV: As far as the prosecution 2 is concerned, we are ready to meet the wishes of the 3 4 defense and we agree to hear the reading of the affidavit now and to cross-examine on Monday. 6 THE PRESIDENT: That is very satisfactory. 7 MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that the witness TANAKA, 8 Shinichi be recalled. 9 10 SHINICHI TANAKA, recalled as a witness 11 on behalf of the defense, having been previously 12 sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as 13 follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. BLAKENEY: 16 Mr. Witness, please state your name and 17 residence to the Tribunal. 18 THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former 19 oath. 20 My name is TANAKA, Shinichi. My address: 21 Mie Prefecture, Mie-gun, Mizusawa-Mura Yokoseko, No. 22 2042. 23 24 MR. BLAKENEY: I ask that he be handed the

And I ask you, Mr. Witness, to examine this

affidavit, defense document 1323.

- 1	
1	document and state whether it is your affidavit
2	bearing your signature and seal.
3	A This is my affidavit, and my signature and
4	seal appears thereon.
5	Q Are the contents of the document true and
6	correct?
7	A The affidavit is true and correct.
8	MR. BLAKENEY: I now offer in evidence the
9	affidavit, defense document No. 1323.
10	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
11	CLERK OF THE COURT: Decense document 1323
12	will receive exhibit No. 2676.
13	(Whereupon, the document above
14	referred to was marked defense exhibit 2676
15	and received in evidence.)
16	MR. BLAKEMEY: I read the affidavit which,
17	omitting formal parts, is as follows:
18	"1. I, TANAKA Shinichi, am a former
20	Lieutenant-General. My present residence is No. 2042,
21	Mizusawa-Mura Yokoseko, Mie-gun, Mie Prefecture.
22	"2. I held the office of chief of the First
23	Department (operations) in the General Staff Office
24	from 12 October 1940 to 7 December 1942.
25	"3. The Collowing is my deposition regarding
	operations plans against the Soviet Union and the

proposed expansion of armaments in Manchuria in 1941, in planning which I took part.

"4. In accordance with custom, the Army's plans for operations against the Russians for 1941 were formed in the winter of 1940. They technically prescribed as the operations necessary for the defense of Japan Proper, Manchuria (in conformity with the Japan-Manchoukuo Protocol which stipulated the joint defense duties), and Korea, and the basic principle of the plan consisted in shifting from a protracted defensive to the offensive.

"I shall hereinafter furnish necessary data about this matter:

"(1) At the beginning of November 1941,
General SUGIYAMA Gen, Chief of the General Staff
(who took command of the General Staff Office as a
whole and was responsible for national defense and
tactics), indicated to the Vice-Chief (who conducted
the general affairs of the office and was in charge of
coordinating policy and operations) and myself, chief
of the First Department (in charge of matters relating
to operations), that in drawing up and deliberating
on the plans of operations against Russia we should
steadfastly adhere to the lines of national policy
which had been established in regard to the adjustment

principle.

of Russo-Japanese diplomatic relations and to the policy which had been clearly shown to the Kwantung Army by the Supreme Command in August 1940; that is, the basic policy of reserving a part of the army to provide against Soviet attack and to maintain peace in the North. I was at the same time informed by the Chief of the General Staff that he and the War Minister had reached complete agreement on that fundamental

"(2) The Second Department of the General Staff Office (whose duty it was to obtain intelligence and judge the situation, and whose chief was Lieutenant General TSUCHIHASHI Yuitsu until the beginning of 1941, later succeeded by Major General OKAMOTO Seifuku) came to the following conclusion regarding the situation at that time.

"In the winter of 1940-41 the Kwantung Army had 10 divisions and 500 planes as against 30 sharp-shooter divisions (according to information, the Russian forces stationed in the Far East, east of Baikal, reached 38 divisions, but I, for one, roughly estimate them at 30 divisions in this case) and 2,500 planes which formed the main strength of the Soviet Army in the Far East. If the two Japanese divisions in Korea, and the four Russian divisions stationed in

O

the Siberian military district, were added respectively, 1 Japan would have 12 divisions as against 34 sharpshooter divisions of the Soviet Army. As a rule, the 3 Soviet Army in the Far East had in the past aimed at maintenance of three times the strength of the Kwantung Army, but in those days the growing number of her planes and tanks tended to increase further the ratio of the Soviets' fighting power against Japan; and the 8 Russian strength judged to be used in the Far East in

wartime reached about 50 divisions.

"With the progress of her successive fiveyear plans, the Soviet preparation for mobilization, concentration and engagement upon the outbreak of a war remarkably increased the speed of its effectuation; it would, in our judgment, have been completed in three or four months at the latest. Japan had to take precautions especially because the Russian Army stationed in the Far East had adopted even in peace time a high standard of quasi-wartime organization and more than 100 long-range bombers stationed south of the Ussuri were in a position to raid Tokyo and other important areas in Japan Proper at any time, these being well within their bombing range. Out of the total mobilized strength of the Soviet Union, the sharpshooter divisions

amounted to about 150, of which about 50 were on the

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

21 22

23

Far Eastern front. Therefore, even in the case of a two-front war, to say nothing of a single-front war in the Far East, it must be calculated that considerably more strength would be available for the Soviets in the Far East. Russia stood in a more advantageous position than Japan in regard also to the supply of strength after the commencement of operations. In addition to 4,500 first-line planes she had 2,000 second-line planes which could be instantly used as first-line aircraft. Moreover, judging from the fact that in the Russo-Vinnish war in the winter of 1939 the Russian Army carried on operations maintaining a line of communications extending over 200 kilometres in 50 degrees below zero, and in view of the efficiency of the Russian supply at the time of the Nomonhan Incident, the capacity of the Soviet Army supply to assist the operations could by no means be made light of.

"(3) Next I will mention the direction of operations. The total wartime strength of the program for 1941 as approved by the War Ministry consisted of 48 divisions and if its employment in wartime is estimated at about 30 divisions against Russia, about 10 in China operations, about five against Anglo-Americans and a few as reserve under the Imperial Headquarters, it was evident that a considerable shortage of strength

1 2

3

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

15

23

24

would be 'elt in every quarter, especially in regard to aircraft, tanks, rear services and liquid fuel. In particular, with only about 30 wartime divisions, it would be extremely difficult for us to take the offensive and overcome the Soviet Far Eastern Army on a wartime footing, which it was judged would reach about 50 divisions at the commencement of operations. After consultations and investigations with the War Ministry bureaus concerned in regard to the relative rapidity of concentrating mobilized forces and the relative capacity for production and supply of war materials of Japan and Russia, it was concluded that we were in a more difficult position to take such an initiative in these respects.

"Moreover, if our relations with the United 16 States and Britain should grow strained, we should 17 have to estimate at the least more than 10 divisions 18 against these Powers, about 10 for China operations, more than 20 against Russia and a few for reserve under the Imperial Headquarters. In such case, it was feared, there would be little hope for Japan of even succeeding with defensive strategy to carry on a protracted defensive struggle. And the strategical danger from the above-mentioned wide disparity in numerical strength and war materials between Japan and Russia was

aggravated by the fact that even a basic defensive organization against the Soviets had not been established owing to the insufficient peacetime strength of the Kwantung Army at that time. The aforesaid circumstances also suggested that even if Japan could carry out her operations most favorably, she would come to a standstill strategically and would be at a loss how to discover the means to end the war.

aggravated by the fact that even a basic defensive organization against the Soviets had not been established owing to the insufficient peacetime strength of the Kwantung Army at that time. The aforesaid circumstances also suggested that even if Japan could carry out her operations most favorably, she would come to a standstill strategically and would be at a loss how to discover the means to end the war.

"The above was the result of investigations made by the First Department.

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

20

22

24

25

"Regarding the fact that Japan lagged far behind Russia in preparations for defensive operations on the Russo-Manchurian borders, General SUGIYAMA, who had inspected Manchuria in the autumn of 1940 immediately before his assumption of office as Chief of the General Staff, reported as follows: Even on the eastern borders (Tungning and Suifenho) to which the Kwantung Army attached the greatest importance, the strength of divisional units was so weak that only about 60 soldiers out of an infantry company were available for emergency dispatch, to say nothing of the shortege of divisions themselves. Moreover, though the fortifications on the eastern borders had been somehow completed in the front, on the first line, there was no provision of rtical depth, on the second and third lines. Should an emergency arise, those lines would be easily broken through. The division commanders in charge of defense on the spot said that in the early stages of operations it would be very difficult to resist Russian attacks for three months, and quite impossible to do so for over four months. Many defects were to be seen, especially in the sir force, he concluded.

"(4) The Chief of the General Staff approved

cluded that Japan's policy of totally avoiding war should be adhered to from a standpoint of strategy as well; because, should a Russo-Japanese war break out, her shortage of fighting power as mentioned above not only would endanger the execution of operations but also would force Japan to leave the China Incident without any solution; and further if unfortunately the United States and Eritain should become involved in the war, there would be little or no hope of success on the part of Japan, particularly in regard to air forces. He decided, therefore, that Japan should always adhere to her principle of totally avoiding war from a standpoint of strategy. I thought that on this point he was in complete agreement with the War Minister.

which was based on shifting from a delaying type of defense to the offense. I approved the conclusion of the First Section that the Kwantung Army--whose ground forces in wartime would not be more, at the most, than 60 to 70 per cent of the Russian forces which would be used in wartime--would be obliged to employ defensive strategy at least in the early period of operations.

The details of this were as follows: Their troop-strength

1 relationship with ours was as above mentioned. 2 relationship of concentration and transportation of 3 forces and materials in the event of opening of hostilities would compel Japan definitely to adopt a defensive strategy in the early period of operations; that is, in order to secure a troop strength of about 30 wartime divisions against Russia, she would be required to transport about 20 wartime divisions from Japan Proper and China to reinforce the 10 divisions stationed 10 in Manchuria; the forces to be drawn from China and 11 transferred to Manchuria were then actually engaged in 12 the midst of China operations. In other words, about 13 70 per cent of the Japanese total wartime strength against Russia and wartime materiel would require rapid 15 increase and transportation, and assuming that circumstances took the most favorable turn for Japan, and that railway and shipping throughout Japan Proper, Korea and Manchuria went most orderly and smoothly, it would take about 140 days from the time of mobilization to complete the concentration of the whole strength of the 22 Kwantung Army. In the meanwhile, even if we hurried 23 it would take 120 days after mobilization at the earliest 24 to change to the offensive and commence it in the eastern border area (Tungning and Suifenho), and we reached the indisputable conclusion that the Russian

Army would take the initiative in starting the offensive during this period in view of general strategy and progress of Soviet preparations for operations. The Kwantung Army, therefore, was obliged to make it the basis of its operational plans that it should adopt a passive strategy at the beginning, check Russian aggressive attacks for quite a long time by a delaying type of defense, making every effort to speed preparations for battle and overcome all obstacles during that time, and shift to the offense in the eastern border

area first about the fifth month after the outbroak of

war. We reached the above conclusion.

"Regarding the decision on the time for assuming the offensive, we did not take into consideration much of the practical claims by the Kwantung Army that at least one month or generally two months for preparation for battle after the concentration of forces would be necessary for the eastern border operations. Concerning the above, according to the judgment of the Second Section, it would obviously take a longer time for the Kwantung Army to complete preparations for commencement of attack, if we took into account the expremely well-developed intelligence net of the Seviet Union, the real conditions of peace in Manchuria and the existence of the well-equipped and superior Russian air forces

which could freely destroy our transportation. In addition, the Russian forces stationed in the Far East not only retained a high standard of quasi-wartime organization in ordinary times, but were far superior to the Japanese in their speed of concentrating mobilized forces. In other words, from the aforesaid various circumstances, it was clearly indicated that Japan would surely face a prepared Russian-initiated attack with her operations unprepared, irrespective of whether it was to the east (Tungning and Suifenho), the north (Heiho) or the west (Hailar and the Great Hsingan Mountains). We had especially to pay serious attention to the inevitability and intenseness of early air-raids on Japan Proper and Manchuria by Russian planes. In short, we reached the conclusion that it would evidently take a much longer time than the planned estimate to concentrate and transport Japanese forces to the Kwantung Army in wartime, and that the period of this concentration and transportation would constitute one of grave crisis in operations against Russia.

"(6) According to the investigations by the First Section, the Kwantung army should shift to the offensive following the delaying type of defense in the early period of the hestilities and should, especially in the eastern border area, at least sweep and destroy

1

4

5

U

0

10

11

110111

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

the bases of long-range bombers in the scuthern Maritime Province of Siberia, in order to complete the defense of Japan. This was a minimum requirement from the viewpoint of defense, which is why the Japanese Army must take the offensive, without falling into a mere defense which would compel it to stay on the borders for a long time. With the development of the war situation thereafter, she should open an offensive movement on other borders between Manchuria and Russia to complete her defense and positively carry out operations necessary for the termination of the war.

"(7) Now I shall discuss operations in the north--Blagoveshchensk--as viewed by the First Section.

"In the eastern border area, the offensive operations would require about two months after changing over to the attack, it was considered. During that time the Japanese forces would check the Russian attack and invasion in the north (Peiho), while in the west (Hailar) they would withdraw, if necessary, successively to the line of the Great Hsingan Mountains to obtain sufficient time. Along with the completion of organization of a passive offensive in the east, attack would be opened anew and changed over to the northern area of Blagoveshchensk. For this purpose a strength of about 10 divisions of ground forces and the main strength of

the air forces must be transferred from the eastern front to the northern one after the seventh or eighth month after the opening of hostilities. According to the investigations in cooperation with the Third Section (which dealt with transportation and communications and whose chief was Lieutenant General SUZUKI Scsaku), the transfer of Japanese forces to the north would require about three months even under the most favorable conditions. It became clear, therefore, that the concentration of forces for an attack in the north would figure cut to continue at least until the end of the tenth or eleventh month after mobilization; needless to say, however, success and completion of the concentration would be greatly influenced by the general war situation and extent of Russian air-raids. By far the most important problem was that of preparing munitions necessary for the attack in the north. According to consultations over the matter with the War Ministry about August 1941, the program was to prepare and store the ammunition necessary for two battles (eight months each) in Manchuria, but it was considered that it would be exhausted by the seventh month after mobilization when the battle in the eastern border area occurred. Therefore, the ammunition necessary for transition to the attrck in the north must depend upon new production

2

17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20 21

22

23

24

after the outbreak of hostilities. The preparation of ammunition necessary for a total of 60 divisions, namely that for two battles for about 20 divisions in both the north and the west, and those for one battle for other forces of the Kwantung Army, would require seventeen menths after mobilization, even if extreme economy in the amount of preparation were practiced. That is, the opening of attack on the northern front would be decisively curbed by this fact, and consideration of production and supply of ordnance other than ammunition would all the more be a circumstance requiring Japan's postponing the attack.

"In short, in the northern area of Peiho, Japan would face a Russian-initiated attack from the beginning of hostilities, and would not take the offensive until at the least more than a year had passed. During this time, there would be many changes occurring in the situation. The conclusion was that realization of shifting to the attack in the north was very doubtful.

"(8) The chief and vice-chief of the General
Staff approved the aforesaid conditions and ordered
that they form the basis of annual operations plans.
We were asked to investigate in the future a policy of
speeding up the time of shifting to the attack in the
eastern area and at the same time to pay close attention

,

Q

to not weakening the aggressive spirit of the Kwantung Army's officers and soldiers in the early part of the delaying type of defense operations. The Chief of Staff further asked that formulation of all plans should be based on the fact that Russo-Japanese operations in the Far East might be considered overseas ones to Russia, and could be compared to colonial operations; but to us they were interior operations, a battle around the area of our very heart. According to his own inspections in Manchuria, however, defensive preparations at the actual spot were a matter of serious anxiety and required the strengthening of the defense and guard organization of the Kwantung Army in ordinary times. For this purpose, he indicated firstly that the system of 16 divisons being stationed in Manchuria and Korea must be realized. This system, of course, had to have the consent of the War Ministry; and the Ministry itself had, furthermore, to get the Government's consent to the cutline of materials and expenditure, among other things. It having, further, connections with diplomacy, we were asked to continue investigations on its realization thereafter.

"(9) In the formation of operational plans for 1941, the existence of the Tripartite Pact among Japan, Germany and Italy had no influence whatsoever. The

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

Chief of Staff and the Vice-Chief clarified, in this connection, the view that the Tripartite Pact neither contributed to nor influenced the operations plans of 1941 against Russia; and therefore, if a Russo-Japanese war broke .ut Japan would never expect operational assistance from Germany and Italy, and similarly Japan did not feel obligated to help Germany and Italy in case of war between Russia and those two countries.

"At that time, further, no one even dreamed of the likelihood of a Russo-German war's breaking out.

"(10) At this time the Second Section of the General Staff had the following opinion on the general situation concerning Russia beside the aforesaid ones on operational situations; namely, immediately after the outbreak of the China Incident, that is, in August 1937, the Russo-Chinese non-aggression pact was concluded; It is not clear whether a military treaty was attached to it, but in 1939 there was a Russo-Chinese secret agreement. Further, a Russo-Chinese trade pact was concluded, the chief aim of which was to supply China with arms. ...ain, in March 1939, following Stalin's address at the Moscow Communists mass meeting in which he showed his support of China, Molotov's policy of assisting China was confirmed. Taking into considera-

24

tion this series of facts, the principle of two-front

operations in both east and west which was established in 1935, the five-year plans which included industriali-3 zation and militarization of the Far East, the Russian attitude toward the Anti-Comintern Pact and the Tripartite Pact, it was concluded that Russia would resort in future to interference with Japan. "5. Regarding the outbreak of the Russo-German war, I state the following.

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

"(1) The Chief and the Vice-Chief of the General Staff gave to the Department Chiefs under their jurisdiction immediately after the decision on the national policy, the following explanations of Japan's relations with the USSR.

"Such will be the most favorable situation for Japan as it will rectify the USSR's character menacing the Far East, prevent Germany from mustering power to threaten the Far East and, further, realize cooperation among Japan, German and the USSR. Resort to arms is aimed at the settling of the northern problem; if the northern problem could be settled by such diplomatic means with armed force in the background, it would be more desirable. any case, the long-term and protracted use of arms must be strictly decided; that is to say, the idea of the use of arms pre-supposes the evasion of a large-scale operations in a concrete form. fore, in this case, military preparation against the USSR is not synonymous with preparations for war. And resort to arms is not yet decided upon at this time.

"The problems of Japan's northern defense, Sakhalin and the rights in northern waters, will be rationally solved. It is hoped that ultimately by the establishment of a demilitarized zone in the border regions of Manchuria and Russia, border disputes will end, the Sakhalin and fisheries problems will be solved and Japan freed from menace. Even though a German-Soviet war may break out, the existence of the Tripartite Pact, needless to say, does not obligate Japan to render aid to German operations and our actions are not restricted by it. This national policy was not the deciding factor for the use of arms against the USSR; it only stipulated that the required research or preparation must be made in view of the possible necessity for the use of arms in accordance with future developments. As to whether we shall resort to arms, we have made no commitments

"In the hastening of preparations for a possible war with America and Great Britain and the policy to be adopted vis-a-vis Soviet Russia, the elimination of possible obstacles to the maintenance of the basic policy towards America and Great Britain was a great restraining influence in the drawing up of measures vis-a-vis Soviet Russia. Among the above matters, the question concerning the use of arms was regarded as the most important and an order was issued to the vice-chief, the department chiefs and the head

7 8

to Germany.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 of the 20th office requiring the careful examination thereof.

"(2) To sum up the judgments of the second part, which covers the period from the German-Soviet war to about the end of July 1941, they are as follows:

"The development of the German-Soviet was cannot be so easily predicted. But there is no little fear of the protraction of the war. The report of Ambassador TATEKAWA to the Foreign Ministry authorities, and that of the military attaches in the USSR contain the same opinion. The German declaration as to the end of the war needs careful considerstion. Though the transportation to European Russia of the Soviet forces stationed in the Far East will naturally take place, Soviet abandonment of the Far East is certainly inconceivable. This will restrict the extent of the transportation of the Far Eastern forces to the west and will not allow of the cutting of the actual strength by half. If it should be halved, 15 sharpshooter divisions would be left in the area east of Lake Baikal and the hasty use of arms against them would inevitably result in an unexpected emergency. Therefore in order to settle the northern problem it is necessary to concentrate sufficient forces in Menchuria. That which requires

of the 20th office requiring the careful examination thereof.

"(2) To sum up the judgments of the second part, which covers the period from the German-Soviet war to about the end of July 1941, they are as follows:

"The development of the German-Soviet was cannot be so easily predicted. But there is no little fear of the protraction of the war. The report of Ambassador TATEKAWA to the Foreign Ministry authorities, and that of the military attaches in the USSR contain the same opinion. The German declaration as to the end of the war needs careful consideration. Though the transportation to European Russia of the Soviet forces stationed in the Far East will naturally take place, Soviet abandonment of the Far East is certainly inconceivable. This will restrict the extent of the transportation of the Far Eastern forces to the west and will not allow of the cutting of the actual strength by half. If it should be halved, 15 sharpshooter divisions would be left in the area east of Lake Baikal and the hasty use of arms against them would inevitably result in an unexpected emergency. Therefore in order to settle the northern problem it is necessary to concentrate sufficient forces in Menchuria. That which requires

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

tween Japan and the Anglo-American camp in view of the growing difficulties of the negotiations between Japan and America since the outbreak of the Russo-German war. Also, the Soviet attitude toward Japan must be strictly watched, since the USSR, which has long been menacing Japan, was entered into a state of hostilities with Germany, one of our allies. The would-be closer rapprochement between the United States, Britain and the USSR in the Far East may give impetus to US-Soviet military cooperation and especially to the advance of American air forces into the Far East.

"Furthermore, when we take into consideration the confusion in the Soviet territory and the lack of peace and order in Manchuria caused by the German-Soviet war, it is necessary that we should strengthen the defense, vigilence and war-preparations in Manchuria as a measure of self-defence regardless of whether we have the intention of settling the northern problem.

"In addition, the change in Japan's relation with the United States, especially in view of the difficulties in the negotiations between the two countries since the outbreak of the German-Soviet

1 2

4 5

war and the attendant change in future relations is, along with the state of affairs in the USSR, the most important item to be considered in deciding Japan's defence policy against the USSR. According to the judgment of the Japanese Ambassador at Moscow, Lieutenant-General TATEKAWA, in connection with the prospect of the German-Soviet war, the USSR will not yield to Germany. Such a thing as a compromise is inconceivable. The war will be protracted. Communism is vehement nationalism and patriotism. The USSR will not totter. There is apprehension that the USSR may take positive steps in the Far Eastern area in case she should be strongly supported by the United States and Britain.

"Then, the relations between Japan and the United States took a sudden turn for the worse with the American-British freezing of Japanese assets upon Japanese-French agreement for joint defense of French Indo-China. Therefore it was judged, most serious consideration would be required as to what should be the measures for settling the northern problem in view of the situation as of about the end of July 1941.

"(3) At the beginning of July 1941 the Chief of the General Staff intended to dispatch

1 2

more troops to Manchuria in view of the circumstances, after he had compared the actual strength of the Soviet Army with that of the Kwantung Army and made a judgment of the future moves of the Soviet Army, taking into consideration our relations with the United States and Britain. And then, after consultation with the War Ministry, reinforcement by two divisions, as the nucleus, was decided upon in early

"But the agreement reached between the War Ministry and the General Staff was as follows. view of the general situation, including Japanese-American relations, and especially the smallness of the reinforcements for Manchuria, it seemed more urgent to reserve the hope of military preparation for settling the northern problem and to streamline the 16-division system in Manchuria and Korea with the long-pending object of defence and vigilence. And in case the opportunity became ripe in future for settling the northern problem, this would have significance also as the preliminary steps thereto. "hat resulted from this was the reinforcement of the army in Manchuria, or the so-called Kwantung Army Special Maneuver. But it was the desire of the "ar Minister and the Chief of the General Staff to

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

July.

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

24

24

drive home to the officers and men, on the occasion of this reinforcement, the idea that it did not mean the commencement of military operations. Frontier incidents were also to be avoided by them.

"(4) Since the end of July 1941 we started a study of a plan of operations against the USSR which was different from that of 1941. The study of the new plan of operations against the USSR which would fit the situation was necessitated by the declaration on 2 July 1941 of a national policy of preparedness for war with the United States and Britain, if unavoidable. But in consideration of the foregoing paragraph (2), and the changes of the trends of the times, which required serious consideration of our relations with the United States, the Chief of the General Staff again ordered the vicechief and the 1st Division Chief to study the new operation plan against the USSR, as well as the operations plans against Britain and America which were correlated with the former. It was because the Chief of the General Staff came to consider that if operations against the United States and Britain should be taken into consideration at all, a considerable increase, contradictory to the annual operations plan, in the strength available for the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

defence against the USSR was unavoidable, that is to say, we could not help reducing the general scope of the defence operations against the USSR to some 20 divisions. Nevertheless, the policy remained unchanged as to the principle that the operations would be based upon self-defence for insuring the defence of the Japanese mainland by a strategy of protracted defensive and passive offensive. The results of the studies were approximately as follows: Strict vigilence would be maintained relying on the existing strength, defence would be further reinforced and utmost efforts would be made to prevent war from breaking out. In case the USSR should challenge us, we would lose no time in dispetching necessary reinforcements, destroying in an instant the enemy air forces in the Soviet Far East in order rapidly to destroy the enemy air force in the southern part of the Maritime Province and thus insure our defence. Time elapsed while the General Staff members were still studying those plans.

23

25

Army Special Maneuver.

"(1) The reinforcement of the Kwantung
Army in the summer of 1941, or the so-called Kwantung

army in Manchuria in 1941, the so-called Kwantung

"6. I now discuss the reinforcement of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Army Special Maneuver was intended to complete the 16-division system in Manchuria and Korea in line with the former study. Both the War Ministry and the General Staff were of the opinion that this step purported to rectify and strengthen the Kwantung Army's defence and guard system which had formerly meny defects and drawbacks and implied preliminary measures, to be adopted in case the national policy of 2 July be developed in future. This was one of the steps decided upon through the agreement between the War Ministry and the General Staff, with subsequent approval of the government, with a view to contributing to the execution of the peace-time duties of the Kwentung Army.

"(2) The Kwantung Army's peace-time duty is to defend Manchoukuo and Kwantung Province. But apart from that, in 1939 the central Supreme Command decided to assign a part of the Imperial Army for maintaining peace in the north as against the USSR, thus establishing the principle concerning the duties and actions of the Kwantung Army. Then, in July of 1941, on the occasion of the so-called Kwantung Army Special Maneuver, the Imperial Headquarters gave an instruction to the commander of the Kwantung Army to the effect that the purpose of reinforcement,

21 22 23

24

the so-called Kwantung Army Special Maneuvers, was to strengthen preparedness against the USSR.

Irmy at that time consisted of 10 divisions (in addition, two divisions not completely organized and of measure strength) and 500 airplanes. It was, according to the judgment of the 2nd department (of the General Staff), not much more than 30 per cent of the Soviet forces actually existing in the Far East. In these circumstances, unless the 16-division system in Manchuria and Korea, the minimum requirement for defensive preparedness, materialized, peace in the north could never be maintained, according to the opinion of the Chief of the General Staff, General SUGIYAMA.

Kwantung army Special Maneuver included reinforcement of the divisions stationed in Manchuria, dispetch of two divisions (one of which was diverted
to South China in September); additional dispatch of
forces under the direct control of the Kwantung Army
such as air forces and artillery, additional dispatch
of supply forces such as automobile and transport
units, organization of the Kwantung Defence Headquarters to command all independent garrisons exist-

7 8

ing in Manchuria, establishment of the 20th Army Corps Headquarters, and increased supply of munitions. Also such matters required from the above items as mobilization of men, collection of materials, organization and equipment of the forces, transportation of men and materials, training, disposition and billeting of the forces were dealt with. For the mobilization of the required men the form of emergency enlistment was adopted and each unit was placed on the basis of full equipment, but not on the wartime basis. The above-mentioned constituted the substance of the so-called Kwantung Army Special Maneuver and did not imply a war program, operational plans or strategic plans.

2

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

"(5) Its execution covered the period from July to October 1941. As the result, the Kwentung Army's strength at the end of 1941 consisted of 13 divisions (in addition to the 10 divisions which had existed in Manchuria prior to the maneuver, two were dispatched from Japan proper and two others were organized in Manchuria, in line with the formerly established program; but use of them was diverted to Fouth China) and other units, so the total strength of the Kwantung Army in Manchuria was a little over -- "

I am sorry. It is illegible here.

THE PRESIDENT: It looks like 100,000.

MR. BLAKENEY: I think it is 600,000, but I will have to look it up in the Japanese original. I will have them look that up.

"Among them the air forces had some 700 ser-17 viceable planes after the Kwantung Army Special maneuver, 18 but since many of them were transferred, 280 serviceable 19 planes remained at the end of 1941. The above-mentioned 20 Kwantung Army strength contains not only the increase by 21 the so-called Kwantung Army Special maneuver program, 22 but also that realized in 1941 by the previously established program unrelated to the former. For example, the aforesaid organization of two divisions in Manchuria belongs to the previously established program.

"(6) The Kwantung Army Special Maneuver was placed under the routine control of the Vice-Chief of the General Staff, in line with the Chief's direction, after having been decided at a conference between the General Staff and the War Ministry. But the steps for each question were decided and disposed of each time without waiting for the over-all plan of execution.

Maneuver had been carried out, the Kwantung Army's defensive and guard preparedness along the Seviet-Manchurian frontiers was weak, and it was greatly feared at that time whether Japan's defensive operations could possibly be conducted, as was provided in the operational plan on the basis of 15 divisions in Manchuria and Korea, in case of a Soviet attack.

Around November 1941, when a part of the Soviet Far Eastern Army was transferred to the European zone, its strength consisted of 32 sharpshooter divisions, about four other divisions, and some 1500 airplanes and 1300 tanks, while the Kwantung Army was estimated as inferior by about 50 per cent to the former, even after the reinforcement by the Kwantung Army Special Maneuver."

I am told that the missed figure in paragraph 5 was found to be 600,00 on the original.

"At about the beginning or the middle of August

* **

the said department of the General Staff estimated the situation as follows: The Soviet-Manchurian frontier districts would likely be considerably stabilized, and there would be no sign of materialization of military cooperation in the Far Fast between the United States and the U.S.S.R. Judging from the general situation, the Soviet 'rmy would not challenge Japan for the time being; that is to say, seeing as we maintained this degree of strength based on the re-inforced strength by the Kwantung Army Special Maneuvers.)

"At about the beginning or in the middle of August, the Chief of the General Staff approved the aforesaid estimate of the situation and acknowledged that there would be very little chance of the Soviet Army's taking a defiant attitude for the time being, if the Kwantung Army Special Maneuver were perfectly carried out. The War Minister also gave consent to it. And after a consultation it was decided not to slacken the Kwantung Army's alertness and preparedness and to spur the execution of the established plan of the Kwantung Army Special Maneuver as much as possible, making preparation for the approaching winter. Then the surveillance of the execution of the established plan for maintaining peace in the north was further tightened in order to avoid untoward incidents on the Soviet-Manchurian

.

11

12

21

25

froatiers, and efforts were exerted for defense and self-protection, taking utmost precaution not to arouse friction, and adhering to a policy of peace at any cost.

"The established plan for peace in the north included such steps as suitably withdrawing the outposts in those districts where troubles were likely to start, while strictly forbidding the forces to advance, to dispense with defense duties in those areas where frontiers were disputed or the actions of forces were difficult, and to do the most possible in localizing frontier troubles in case they should arise.

"Thus efforts were made to maintain the peace 13 in the north. While the materialization of the re-14 inforcement was being delayed day by day owing to the circumstances of shortage of transportation, the approaching cold season in the Manchurian area finally necessitated abandonment of a part of the plan. Later, the unsatisfactory progress of the negotiations with the United States and Pritian worsened the situation, and with the establishment of the new national policy in the beginning of Feptember, a considerable proportion of the strength in Manchuria was withdrawn and diverted to South China, Formosa and northern French Indo-China.

"The chief forces diverted were one division and a considerable proportion of tanks, heavy artiller,

anti-aircraft guns, auxiliary forces, air corps headquarters, fighters, and light and heavy bombers.

"I have stated as above the substance and circumstances of the so-called Kwantung Army Special Maneuver, and I believe that I have made it clear that that plan was not meant to be a preparation for aggression on the part of Japan against the U.S.S.R.

"7. In connection with developments thereafter, I will now offer material to explain the Japanese
attitude toward the Soviet Union.

policy had naturally come to be directed toward the United States and Britian, according to the decision of 6 September, it followed that we not only clung to the established policy of peace toward the Soviet Union, but also reduced our precautions and war preparations against the Soviet Union. I have already referred to the major transfers from Manchuria to Southern China, Formosa and Northern French Indo-China, which took place between september and November of the year 1941. To explain in detail, the following units were transferred:

[&]quot;l division

[&]quot;3 tank regiments

[&]quot;5 heavy artillery regiments

[&]quot;20-odd anti-aircraft artillery companies

2

3

4

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

DIRECT

"3 air brigade headquarters "4 fighter regiments " 3 light bomber regiments "2 heavy bomber regiments "5 scout-blane squadrons and some ground service units. 6 "(2) After July 1941 the Japanese Army 7 operating in China was planning, firstly, the Changsha operations, to be executed about reptember and October 9 10

of the same year; secondly, operations for the restoration of peace and order in the triangular area comprising Nanking Shanghai and Hangchow; and thirdly, operations for aerial advance into the interior of China. Those constitute some of the factors to help

judge the attitude of Japan toward the Foviet Union.

"(3) At the Liaison Conference held toward the middle of November 1941, the following decisions were reached: that in the event of the outbreak of a war against the United States and Britian, we should by all means avoid making enemies of other countries, and especially entering into a state of war against the Foviet Union; and that we would endeavor to effect a reconciliation between Germany and the Soviet Union, should they be so disposed, and winning the Soviet Union over to the Axis camp, adjust relations between Japan and the Soviet Union. This also helps to form an

opinion conderning Japan's attitude toward the Soviet Union.

"8. I now come to materials to explain the Japanese attitude toward the Foviet Union after the eutbreak of the war against the United Ftates and Britian.

"(1) The gist of the order issued by the Imperial Headquarters to the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army on 3 December 1941, was as follows:

"It is the intention of Imperial Headquarters to capture the major points in the southern regions and at the same time to dispose of the China Incident, in the meantime making utmost efforts to prevent the outbreak of war against the Foviet Union. The Kwantung irmy will perform its duties of defending Manchoukuo and the Kwantung Province, and in particular, it will make preparations for defensive operations against the Foviet Union, in order to meet any development of the situation. In settling any boundary dispute, efforts shall be made to localize the affair. In the case of special areas, defense by armed forces may be dispensed with, if the situation so demanded.

"(2) I was informed by the Chief of the Army General Staff that at the Liaison Conference toward the end of November 1941, it was agreed that although at

2

3.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the cutset of an outbreak of war between Japan on the one hand and the United States and Britian on the other, it was hardly probable that Russia should take positive action against Japan, it was cuite possible that the United Ftates would utilize Russian territory in the Far East for military bases, and that Foviet Russia would act in various ways against Japan; but that according to later developments it was also possible that Foviet Russia would wage war against Japan. Nevertheless, concrete measures towards these eventualities were not decided upon, excepting the existence of the following defensive plan against Foviet Russia.

"(3) The Chief of the Army General Staff decided that the operational plan in 1942 against Foviet Russia should be drafted along the line of the principle of the previous year's plan, and that it should generally be based upon the following policy: That the Kwantung Army should maintain its disposition up to that time but should assume strict precautionary measures, strengthen defenses and strive its utmost to prevent outbreak of a war. In case the United States and Soviet Russia in concert should challenge Japan from the north, or the Russian Army should independently challenge us, without delay Japan should transfer necessary divisions from Japan proper and China in order to destroy

23 24

25

1

1	Russian air forces in the Russian Far Eastern terri-
2	tories, especially in the Maritime Provine of Fiberia.
3	Thus our objective was not to fulfil the minimum de-
4	fensive requirements by assuming a passive offensive in
5	place of a protracted defensive operation.
6	"The above plan was decided upon the basis of
7	a separate operations plan against Soviet Russia which
8	had been studied since the end of July 1941, and the
9	matters in it relating to the War Ministry were de-
0	cided upon after consultation with that Ministry.
2	"(4) According to intelligence obtained by
3	the Fecond Department, the Russian strength in the Far
4	East at the beginning of 1942 was as follows:
5	"Total strength: 19 sharpshooter divisions
6	1 tank division
7	_3_cavalry divisions
8	"Total 23 divisions
9	"Ground forces south of Habarovsk
0	10 sharpshooter divisions
1	1 tank division
2	_l cavalry division
3	"Total 12 divisions
4	"Air Forces:
5	60 heavy bombers

80 long range bombers

330 light bombers

450 Tighters

60 assault planes

200 seaplanes

"Total ... 1200

"The Kwantung Army around that time consisted of 13 divisions and about 500 airplanes, of which about 2:0 were operational (50 heavy bombers, 100 light bombers, 130 fighters), and in comparative ratio of the two ground forces ours were below 60% of the Russian forces.

"(5) The decision of the Liaison Conference about the middle of March 1942 was as follows:

"To conduct necessary operations against vital spots outside the occupied area in order to hasten the termination of the war by wav of forcing the United states and Britian into a position of passive defense; to strive for the prompt settlement of the China Incident so far as circumstances permitted, taking advantage of the result of operations in southern regions, and to prevent as much as possible the occurrance of a new incident with Soviet Russia and to strengthen precautionary measures against the eventuality of war with Soviet Russia.

"(6) According to the estimate of the staff

East consisted of 20 sharpshooter divisions, or 23 divisions, including other divisions, 1300 tanks and 1200 airplanes. Though the lowering in the standard of their fighting strength was perceptible, the entire fighting personnel totalled over 800,000. Against this the strength of the Kwantung Army was composed of 14 divisions, about 500,000 men, and about 600 airplanes. Thus the strength of the Kwantung Army was about 60% of the Russian forces then in the Far East.

"(7) Beginning in May 1942, Imperial Headquarters were examining operations against the
Aleutian Islands, Fiji, Samoa, New Caledonia, Eastern New Guinea, etc., as operations against the
outer strategic points in the Pacific area. However, the operations which were actually carried out
were those of the Aleutian Islands, Midway, Eastern
New Guinea, etc. After the spring of 1942, Imperial Headquarters were conducting various studies
with the intention of conducting a future offensive
with the occupation of Chungking as its objective.
This series of such operational plans by the Imperial Headquarters in 1942 is a fact indicative of
Japan's attitude of peace toward Soviet Russia.

"As to the offensive into the inland of China, aiming at the reduction of Chungking, a definite plan was formed through the study of the Army General Staff, and it was agreed that whether it should be put into execution was to be settled finally in the autumn of 1942. The nucleus of the strength necessary for this operation was to be 15 divisions. Of the necessary strength and equipment, 2 divisions, 200 airplanes, two-thirds of the motor vehicles and communications units, and almost all the river-crossing material were to be taken from those

in Manchuria, and the greater part of the necessary fuel and ammunition were to be taken and transferred from the Kwantung Army. In accordance with this plan the personnel to be transferred from Manchuria totalled 200,000. This plan, however, was abandoned in December 1942, as a result of adverse developp

"(8) Our strength was transferred to the south-east sector of the Pacific from various quarters, including Manchuria, to cope with the counter-attack by the United States forces centering around the Solomon Islands and Guadalcanal after august 1942. This concentration of our forces made it all the more urgent to prevent the outbreak of any incident against Soviet Russia, so that absolute peace might be maintained between the two countries.

ments of the operations centering around Guadalcanal.

"(9) According to intelligence obtained from the Second Department at the end of 1942, the strength of the Kwantung Army consisted of 14 divisions and 500 airplanes as against the Far Eastern Russian Army's 20 divisions and 1,000 airplanes. Our strength was calculated to be 70 per cent of theirs. Thus the Russian Army in the Far East continued to maintain a strength superior to

7 8

the Kwantung Army even in this period.

"(10) As to the operational plans against the outer strategic points in the Pacific area and the reduction of Chungking, the Army General Staff was worried since they might endanger the execution even of the passive defensive operations of the Kwantung Army against Soviet Russia, in reference to the military strength, especially airplanes, tanks, motor vehicles, various corps in the rear, and munitions, especially liquid fuel."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half past nine on Monday morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Monday, 2 June 1947, at 0930.)